

# Contents

FIGURES .....	v
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	1
<b>EXECUTIVE BRIEF: The State of Technology and Funding in U.S. Public Libraries in 2009.</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<i>John Carlo Bertot, Larra Clark, Denise M. Davis, Charles R. McClure</i>	
Libraries Play Critical Technology Roles in Communities	4
Library Buying Power Erodes	5
The Elusive Nature of Providing Quality Public Access	8
Call to Action	9
<b>Public Library Funding Landscape: 2008–2009</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<i>Denise M. Davis</i>	
<b>SECTION I: Findings from the Public Libraries and the Internet 2008–2009 Survey</b> .....	<b>20</b>
<i>John Carlo Bertot, Charles R. McClure, Denise M. Davis, Elise Jensen, Susan Thomas, Carla B. Wright</i>	
Executive Summary	21
Introduction	27
Methodology	28
National Outlet (Branch)-Level Data	31
Public Access Support	39
Connectivity	42
Public Access Service Environment	45
National System-Level Data	53
E-rate	53
Library Sources of Funding and Operating Budgets	55
Operating Expenditures	58
Technology Costs Paid on Behalf of Libraries	67
Volatility of Technology Budgets	69
2009 State Summaries	77
<b>SECTION II: Findings from the State Library Agency Chief Officers' Qualitative Questionnaire</b> .....	<b>124</b>
<i>Larra Clark</i>	
Executive Summary	125
Methodology	125
Findings	126
<b>SECTION III: Findings from Focus Groups and Site Visits</b> .....	<b>134</b>
<i>Larra Clark, Peggy Barber, Linda K. Wallace</i>	
Executive Summary	135
Methodology	138
Indiana Case Study	140
Wisconsin Case Study	151

APPENDICES .....	163
<b>A. 2008 National Survey of Public Library Funding &amp; Technology Access Study .....</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>B. 2008 Chief Officers of State Library Agencies Questionnaire .....</b>	<b>184</b>
<b>C. May 2009 Follow-up Questionnaire to Chief Officers of State Library Agencies.....</b>	<b>190</b>
<b>D. Focus Group Questions/Script .....</b>	<b>192</b>
<b>E. Site Visit Questions.....</b>	<b>195</b>
<b>F. Indiana Focus Group and Site Visit Participants.....</b>	<b>197</b>
<b>G. Wisconsin Focus Group and Site Visit Participants.....</b>	<b>198</b>
REPORT CONTRIBUTORS.....	199
INDEX.....	202

# Figures

<b>A1</b> Public Wireless Availability, 2004–2009 . . . . .	4
<b>A2</b> Public Library Internet Services Available to Users, 2007–2009 . . . . .	5
<b>A3</b> Connection Speed, Wireless Availability, and Sufficiency. . . . .	7
<b>B1</b> Average Percentage Change FY2008 to FY2009 Public Library Systems Operating Budget, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	12
<b>B2</b> Public Library System Operating Budget Change, FY2008 to FY2009 . . . . .	13
<b>B3</b> Average Percentage Change FY2008 to FY2009 Public Library Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	13
<b>B4</b> Average Percentage Change FY2008 Actual to FY2009 Actual or Anticipated Low Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	14
<b>B5</b> Average Percentage Change FY2008 Actual to FY2009 Actual or Anticipated Medium Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	14
<b>B6</b> Average Percentage Change FY2008 Actual to FY2009 Actual or Anticipated High Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	15
<b>B7</b> Average Percentage Change Fiscal Years 2007–2009 Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	15
<b>B8</b> Public Library System Receipt of “on Behalf of” Financial Support for Technology Expenditures, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	16
<b>B9</b> Percentage Change FY2009 and FY2010 Public Library Systems Technology Budget Volatility, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	17
<b>B10</b> FY2009 Operating Budget and Technology Expenditure Matrix Compared to FY2008 . . . . .	18
<b>B11</b> Percentage Change FY2008 to FY2009 Public Library Systems Average Total Technology-Related Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	19
<b>C1</b> Public Library Outlets and Survey Responses . . . . .	31
<b>C2</b> Average Number of Hours Open Weekly per Outlet, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	31
<b>C3</b> Public Library Outlets Change in Hours Open, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty. . . . .	32
<b>C4</b> Public Library Outlets Offering Public Access to the Internet, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty. . . . .	32
<b>C5</b> Public Library Outlets as the Only Provider of Free Public Internet and Free Public Computer Access, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	33
<b>C6</b> Average Number of Public Access Internet Workstations, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty. . . . .	33
<b>C7</b> Number of Public Access Internet Workstations, by Average Age, Metropolitan Status and Poverty. . . . .	34
<b>C8</b> Sufficiency of Public Access Internet Workstations, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	34
<b>C9</b> Public Library Outlets Public Access Internet Workstations Addition Schedule, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty. . . . .	35
<b>C10</b> Public Library Outlets Public Access Internet Workstation/Laptop Replacement or Addition Schedules, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	36
<b>C11</b> Factors Influencing Addition of Public Access Internet Workstations/Laptops, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	37
<b>C12</b> Factors Influencing Replacement of Public Access Internet Workstations/Laptops, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	38
<b>C13</b> Public Library Outlets Internet Workstation/Laptop Replacement Approach, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	38
<b>C14</b> Public Library Outlets Length of Time to Get Computers Back in Service, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	39
<b>C15</b> Sources of IT and Computer Support Provided to Public Library Outlets, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	40
<b>C16</b> Number of FTE for IT and Computer Support Provided to Public Library Outlets, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	41
<b>C17</b> Public Library Outlets Maximum Speed of Public Access Internet Services, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	42
<b>C18</b> Public Access Wireless Internet Connectivity in Public Library Outlets, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty. . . . .	43
<b>C19</b> Public Library Outlets Shared Wireless-Workstation Bandwidth, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	43
<b>C20</b> Adequacy of Public Library Outlets Public Access Internet Connection, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty. . . . .	44
<b>C21</b> Possibility of Increasing Adequacy of Public Library Outlets Public Access Internet Connection, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	44
<b>C22</b> Public Library Outlets Time Limits for Patron Use of Workstations, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	45
<b>C23</b> Public Library Outlets With Time Limits for Internet Workstations per Day, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	46

<b>C24</b>	Public Library Outlets With Time Limits for Internet Workstations and Total Sessions per Day, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	46
<b>C25</b>	Public Library Outlets Management of Public Internet Workstation Time Limits, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	47
<b>C26</b>	Public Library Outlets Offering Formal or Informal Technology Training, Availability by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	47
<b>C27</b>	Formal Technology Training Classes Offered by Public Library Outlets, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	48
<b>C28</b>	Public Library Services Available to Users, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	49
<b>C29</b>	Public Library Peripherals Available to Users, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	50
<b>C30</b>	Factors that Prevent Public Libraries from Providing Services or Require Limited Access to Users, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	50
<b>C31</b>	Public Access Internet Services Critical to the Role of the Public Library Outlet, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	51
<b>C32</b>	E-Government Roles and Services of the Public Library Outlets, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	52
<b>C33</b>	Percentage of Public Library Systems that Applied for an E-rate Discount, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	53
<b>C34</b>	Percentage of Public Library Systems Receiving E-rate Discount, by Discount Category and by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	53
<b>C35</b>	Public Library Systems Reasons for Not Applying for E-rate Discounts, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	54
<b>C36</b>	FY2008 Public Library Systems Operating Funding Sources Received or Anticipated, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	56
<b>C37</b>	FY2009 Public Library Systems Operating Funding Sources Received or Anticipated, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	56
<b>C38</b>	FY2008 Public Library Systems Operating Budget Change, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	57
<b>C39</b>	FY2009 Public Library Systems Operating Budget Change, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	57
<b>C40</b>	FY2008 Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	59
<b>C41</b>	FY2009 Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	59
<b>C42</b>	Average Percentage Change FY2008–FY2009 Total Operating Expenditures by Funding Source . . . . .	60
<b>C43</b>	FY2008 Rural Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	61
<b>C44</b>	FY2009 Rural Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	61
<b>C45</b>	FY2008 Suburban Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	62
<b>C46</b>	FY2009 Suburban Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	62
<b>C47</b>	FY2008 Urban Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	63
<b>C48</b>	FY2009 Urban Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	63
<b>C49</b>	FY2008 Low Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	64
<b>C50</b>	FY2009 Low Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	64
<b>C51</b>	FY2008 Medium Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	65
<b>C52</b>	FY2009 Medium Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	65
<b>C53</b>	FY2008 High Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	66
<b>C54</b>	FY2009 High Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	66
<b>C55</b>	Public Library Systems Receipt of “on Behalf of” Financial Support for Technology Expenditures, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	67
<b>C56</b>	FY2008 Urban Public Library Systems Technology Expenses that are Paid by Another Government Office or Organization, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	68
<b>C57</b>	FY2008 Suburban Public Library Systems Technology Expenses that are Paid by Another Government Office or Organization, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	68
<b>C58</b>	FY2008 Rural Public Library Systems Technology Expenses that are Paid by Another Government Office or Organization, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	69
<b>C59</b>	FY2009 Public Library Systems Technology Budget Change, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	70
<b>C60</b>	FY2010 Public Library Systems Anticipated Technology Budget Change, by Metropolitan Status and Poverty . . . . .	70
<b>C61</b>	FY2009 Public Library Systems Average Total Technology-Related Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	71
<b>C62</b>	FY2009 Rural Public Library Systems Average Total Technology-Related Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	73
<b>C63</b>	FY2009 Suburban Public Library Systems Average Total Technology-Related Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source . . . . .	74

<b>C64</b> FY2009 Urban Public Library Systems Average Total Technology-Related Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source .....	74
<b>C65</b> FY2009 Low Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Technology-Related Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source .....	75
<b>C66</b> FY2009 Medium Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Technology-Related Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source .....	75
<b>C67</b> FY2009 High Poverty Public Library Systems Average Total Technology-Related Operating Expenditures, by Type and Funding Source .....	76
<b>D1</b> Changes in State Funding, 2008 .....	126
<b>D2</b> Changes in Local Funding, 2008 .....	127
<b>D3</b> State Funding for Public Libraries Declines Reported for FY 2009 .....	129
<b>D4</b> Statewide Taskforce .....	130
<b>D5</b> Negotiations with ISP's .....	130
<b>D6</b> Scope of E-Government Services .....	131
<b>D7</b> State Libraries' Role in E-Government Efforts .....	132
<b>D8</b> Resources for Support for Library Trustees .....	132



# Acknowledgments

Large-scale national surveys and site visits such as this involve substantial effort and support from many individuals and groups. While we cannot easily mention each individual or community, we would like to highlight the efforts of those who provided substantial assistance.

The study team wishes to express its gratitude to the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the American Library Association (ALA), whose support and participation have made this study and the previous studies in 2006–2007 and 2007–2008 possible. Also, we thank ALA and the Gates Foundation for their assistance in securing a high survey response rate.

The study team would like to recognize the significant efforts of the state librarians, the state data coordinators, and other state library staff members. As with the previous year's studies, the amount of time, energy, and support the state library community invested in this study contributed directly to the survey's response rate—we cannot thank them enough for all of their efforts.

We extend a debt of gratitude to all the public librarians who completed the survey and participated in the focus groups and site visits. Without your interest and participation, we simply would not have any data. The time you take to provide the data in this report offers valuable information for national, state, and local policymakers; library advocates; researchers; practitioners; government and private funding organizations; and others to understand the impact, issues and needs of libraries providing public access computing. The data also provide public librarians with the opportunity to advocate the importance of their library for the communities that they serve.

We also are in debt to the study's Advisory Committee. These individuals assisted us in a number of key areas, including issue identification, question development, survey pre-testing, survey Web site development, and providing perspectives on study findings. Our thanks to Stacey Aldrich (California State Library), Nancy Ashmore (Prairie du Chien Memorial Library), Robert Bocher (Department of Public Instruction, Wisconsin State Library), Linda Crowe (Peninsula Library System), John D. "Danny" Hales, Jr. (Suwannee River Regional Library), Christopher Jowaisas (Texas State Library), Sarah Ann Long (North Suburban Library System), Charlie Parker (Tampa Bay Library Consortium), Rivkah K. Sass (Omaha Public Library) and Stephen E. Wiberley (University of Illinois-Chicago, CORS representative).

The study team thanks Norman Rose and Emily Sterk for their ongoing work on behalf of this study and other ALA Office for Research & Statistics projects; Sara T. Behrman for her skillful and comprehensive edits; Brian Benson for designing the project logo, book cover and other materials for this project; ALA Production Services staff for designing and laying out the book; and Jaime Greene for feedback on report drafts.

Finally, we thank all members of the Center for Library & Information Innovation at the University of Maryland and their staff for their help and dedication throughout this entire process; in particular, we would like to recognize Carla B. Wright, Elise Jensen and Susan Thomas for their help on this project. We also wish to acknowledge the outstanding technical support and work done by Paragon New Media in Tallahassee for developing and maintaining the survey Web site.