

January 11, 2015

President Barack Obama  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20500

Access Now  
1110 Vermont Avenue NW  
Suite 500  
Washington, DC 20005

Dear President Obama,

We urge you to protect the security of your citizens, your economy, and your government by supporting the development and use of secure communications tools and technologies, rejecting policies that would prevent or undermine the use of strong encryption, and urging other leaders to do the same.

Encryption tools, technologies, and services are essential to protect against harm and to shield our digital infrastructure and personal communications from unauthorized access. The ability to freely develop and use encryption provides the cornerstone for today's global economy. Economic growth in the digital age is powered by the ability to trust and authenticate our interactions and communicate and conduct business securely, both within and across borders.

Some of the most noted technologists and experts on encryption recently explained that laws or policies that undermine encryption would "force a U-turn from the best practices now being deployed to make the Internet more secure," "would substantially increase system complexity" and raise associated costs, and "would create concentrated targets that could attract bad actors."<sup>1</sup> The absence of encryption facilitates easy access to sensitive personal data, including financial and identity information, by criminals and other malicious actors. Once obtained, sensitive data can be sold, publicly posted, or used to blackmail or embarrass an individual. Additionally, insufficiently encrypted devices or hardware are prime targets for criminals.

The United Nations Special Rapporteur for freedom of expression has noted, "encryption and anonymity, and the security concepts behind them, provide the privacy and security necessary for the exercise of the right to freedom of opinion and expression in the digital age."<sup>2</sup> As we move toward connecting the next billion users, restrictions on encryption in any country will

---

<sup>1</sup> Harold Abelson et al., *Keys Under Doormats: mandating insecurity by requiring government access to all data and communications*, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Technical Report (July 6, 2015).

<sup>2</sup> *Report of the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression*, Human Rights Council, U.N. Doc.A/HRC/29/32 (May 22, 2015) (by David Kaye).

likely have global impact. Encryption and other anonymizing tools and technologies enable lawyers, journalists, whistleblowers, and organizers to communicate freely across borders and to work to better their communities. It also assures users of the integrity of their data and authenticates individuals to companies, governments, and one another.

We encourage you to support the safety and security of users by strengthening the integrity of communications and systems. All governments should reject laws, policies, or other mandates or practices, including secret agreements with companies, that limit access to or undermine encryption and other secure communications tools and technologies. Users should have the option to use – and companies the option to provide – the strongest encryption available, including end-to-end encryption, without fear that governments will compel access to the content, metadata, or encryption keys without due process and respect for human rights. Accordingly:

- Governments should not ban or otherwise limit user access to encryption in any form or otherwise prohibit the implementation or use of encryption by grade or type;
- Governments should not mandate the design or implementation of “backdoors” or vulnerabilities into tools, technologies, or services;
- Governments should not require that tools, technologies, or services are designed or developed to allow for third-party access to unencrypted data or encryption keys;
- Governments should not seek to weaken or undermine encryption standards or intentionally influence the establishment of encryption standards except to promote a higher level of information security. No government should mandate insecure encryption algorithms, standards, tools, or technologies; and
- Governments should not, either by private or public agreement, compel or pressure an entity to engage in activity that is inconsistent with the above tenets.

Strong encryption and the secure tools and systems that rely on it are critical to improving cybersecurity, fostering the digital economy, and protecting users. Our continued ability to leverage the internet for global growth and prosperity and as a tool for organizers and activists requires the ability and the right to communicate privately and securely through trustworthy networks.

We look forward to working together toward a more secure future.

Sincerely,

### **Organizations**

Access Now

ACI-Participa

Advocacy for Principled Action in Government

Alternative Informatics Association

Alternatives

American Civil Liberties Union

The Global Network Initiative (GNI)

Global Voices Advox

Government Accountability Project

Hiperderecho

Hivos

Human Rights Foundation

American Library Association  
Amnesty International  
ARTICLE 19  
Asociación por los Derechos Civiles  
Asociatia pentru Tehnologie si Internet (ApTI)  
Association for Progressive Communications (APC)  
Australian Lawyers for Human Rights  
Australian Privacy Foundation  
Benetech  
Bill of Rights Defense Committee  
Bits of Freedom  
Blueprint for Free Speech  
Bolo Bhi  
the Centre for Communication Governance at National Law University Delhi  
Center for Democracy and Technology  
Center for Digital Democracy  
Center for Financial Privacy and Human Rights  
the Center for Internet and Society (CIS)  
Center for Media, Data and Society at the School of Public Policy of Central European University  
Center for Technology and Society at FGV Rio Law School  
Chaos Computer Club  
CivSource  
Committee to Protect Journalists  
Constitutional Alliance  
Constitutional Communications  
Consumer Action  
Consumer Federation of America  
Consumer Watchdog  
ContingenteMX  
Crítica  
Defending Dissent Foundation  
Digitalcourage  
Digitale Gesellschaft  
Digital Empowerment Foundation  
Digital Rights Foundation  
DSS216  
Electronic Frontier Finland  
Electronic Frontier Foundation  
Electronic Frontiers Australia  
Electronic Privacy Information Center  
Engine  
Enjambre Digital  
Eticas Research and Consulting  
Human Rights Watch  
Institute for Technology and Society of Rio (ITS Rio)  
Instituto Demos  
the International Modern Media Institute (IMMI)  
Internet Democracy Project  
IPDANDETEC  
IT-Political Association of Denmark  
Jonction  
Karisma Foundation  
Keyboard Frontline  
Korean Progressive Network Jinbonet  
Localization Lab  
Media Alliance  
Modern Poland Foundation  
Myanmar ICT for Development Organization (MIDO)  
Net Users' Rights Protection Association (NURPA)  
New America's Open Technology Institute  
Niskanen Center  
One World Platform Foundation  
OpenMedia  
Open Net Korea  
Open Rights Group  
Panoptikon Foundation  
Paradigm Initiative Nigeria  
Patient Privacy Rights  
PEN American Center  
PEN International  
Point of View  
Privacy International  
Privacy Rights Clearinghouse  
Privacy Times  
Protection International  
La Quadrature du Net  
R3D (Red en Defensa de los Derechos Digitales)  
R Street Institute  
Reinst8  
Restore the Fourth  
RootsAction.org  
Samuelson-Glushko Canadian Internet Policy & Public Interest Clinic (CIPPIC)  
Security First  
SFLC.in  
Share Foundation  
Simply Secure  
Social Media Exchange (SMEX)  
SonTusDatos (Artículo 12, A.C.)

European Digital Rights  
Fight for the Future  
Föreningen för digitala fri- och rättigheter  
(DFRI)  
Freedom House  
Freedom of the Press Foundation  
Freedom to Read Foundation  
Free Press  
Free Press Unlimited  
Free Software Foundation  
Fundacion Acceso  
Future of Privacy Forum  
Future Wise  
Globe International Center

### **Companies**

CloudFlare  
Computer & Communications Industry  
Association  
DuckDuckGo  
HackerOne  
HasGeek  
Internet Association

### **Individuals**

Jacob Appelbaum  
Collin Anderson  
Matt Blaze  
Paul Bernal  
Owen Blacker  
Eva Bogner  
Sara Sinclair Brody  
Eric Burger  
Jon Callas  
L. Jean Camp  
Ronald Deibert  
Lina Dencik  
Thomas Drake  
Dr. Suelette Dreyfus  
David Evans  
Jim Fruchterman  
Mike Godwin  
Matthew Green  
Joseph Lorenzo Hall  
Arne Hintz  
Deborah Hurley  
Birgitta Jonsdottir  
David Kaye

Student Net Alliance  
Sursiendo  
Comunicación y Cultura Digital  
TechFreedom  
Tully Center for Free Speech at Syracuse  
University  
Usuarios Digitales  
Viet Tan  
Vrijschrift  
WITNESS  
World Privacy Forum  
X-Lab  
Xnet  
Zimbabwe Human Rights Forum

Internet Infrastructure Coalition (i2coalition)  
MediaNama  
Neurocrypto, LLC  
Silent Circle  
Sonic

Frank La Rue  
Timothy Libert  
Rebecca MacKinnon  
Morgan Marquis-Boire  
Maxigas  
Bailey McCann  
Andrew McLaughlin  
Sascha Meinrath  
Eric Mill  
Katie Moussouris  
Jacobó Nájera  
Nikhil Pahwa  
Chip Pitts  
Jesús Robles Maloof  
Phillip Rogaway  
Marc Rotenberg  
Bruce Schneier  
'Gbenga Sesan  
Micah Sherr  
Adam Shostack  
Barbara Simons  
Norman Solomon  
Tim Sparapani

Ephraim Percy Kenyanito  
Eric King  
John Kiriakou  
Douwe Korff  
Ryan Lackey  
Susan Landau

Ritu Srivastava  
Maria Swietlik  
Nabiha Syed  
Trevor Timm  
Meredith Whittaker