

1, The proposal is anonymous (Yes/No)

Review is an anonymous review process. If there is any information that might reveal the author and/or an institution, the proposal is disqualified.

Yes -> [Continue] No -> [Disqualified]

Points per criteria	Excellent 3	Good 2	Fair 1	Poor 0
1. Innovation/ Creativity	The proposal content is groundbreaking and transformational.	The proposal content is original and innovative.	The proposal content is a new take on a familiar topic.	The proposal topic is weak and lacks originality.
2. Timeliness	Proposal is timely. It is emerging or trending.	Proposal is mostly timely. Of interest in the last 1-2 years	Proposal is somewhat timely. It continues to be a topic of interest within the last 5 years.	Proposal is not timely, and does not address current topics in librarianship.
3. Relevance for academic libraries	The proposal topic is core to the work of academic library professionals.	The proposal topic is valuable to the work of academic library professionals.	The proposal topic is somewhat related to the work of academic library professionals.	It is a stretch to make this topic relate to the work of academic library professionals.
4. Clarity, organization, and outcomes	The proposal is well written, and organized, and outcomes are clearly stated.	The proposal has only minor issues with clarity, organization, and outcomes.	Some components of the proposal would have benefitted from additional clarification and/or editing.	The proposal is vague and/or poorly edited
5. Suitable for session format	The proposal aims are clear, well-scoped, and suitable for the session format.	The proposal is somewhat appropriate for the format.	The proposal aims are most likely not achievable in the session format.	The proposal aims are unclear or too ambitious for the session format.
6. Equity and inclusion	The proposal strongly addresses the equity statement. Acceptance would allow members of underrepresented group(s) to present their work or otherwise broaden the perspectives of conference attendees.	The proposal addresses the equity statement. Acceptance is likely to broaden the perspectives of conference attendees.	The proposal addresses the equity statement, but acceptance is unlikely to broaden the perspectives of conference attendees.	The proposal does not sufficiently address the equity statement. Acceptance is unlikely to broaden the perspectives of conference attendees.

Equity Statement

In the spirit of equity, ACRL seeks to provide opportunity to underrepresented groups that have been historically marginalized or excluded due to race, ethnicity, gender identity and expression, sexual orientation, economic background, age, and/or disability. We also seek participants from all types of libraries, positions, and

experiences, including nonlibrary faculty, staff, and administrators. Individuals are encouraged to address how their proposed sessions and their personal and professional experiences will advance these goals, promote equity and inclusion, and broaden the perspectives of conference attendees.

Recommendation

- Recommend
- Recommend with Reservations
- Do Not Recommend

Comments