APPENDIX A

2008 National Survey of Public Library Funding & Technology Access Study

The American Library Association (ALA) and the Information Use Management and Policy Institute in the College of Information at Florida State University, with support from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, are surveying a national sample of public libraries regarding their Internet connectivity, computing resources, and technology funding. Ms. Denise M. Davis and Ms. Larra Clark (ALA Office of Research and Statistics), Dr. John Carlo Bertot (Center for Library Innovation at the University of Maryland), and Dr. Charles R. McClure (Information Institute at Florida State University) are the study managers. You may access the survey at http://www.plinternetsurvey.org.

The survey Web site provides specific instructions for completing the Web survey. The survey contains questions about specific library system branches, as well as system-wide questions. We realize that public libraries in each state are organized differently and that the term "system" can mean something different from state to state. By system we mean the central authority for the library—that is, the entity that makes budget decisions, applies for E-rate, and makes other management decisions. We do not use the term “system” to mean regional cooperatives or other forms of federated libraries. If your library system has branches, you may be asked to complete questions regarding some of your branches prior to answering questions about your entire system. By branch, we mean a building that is open to the public and provides services to the community (e.g., lends books, offers public access to the Internet and computers, other). Your library and the branches selected to participate (if applicable) were selected randomly. If you wish to complete the survey for the additional branches in your system (again, if applicable), you will be given the opportunity to do so. IMPORTANT: To facilitate completion of the Web-based survey, the branch and system questions are presented separately. PLEASE COMPLETE BOTH PARTS OF THE SURVEY. A glossary of key terms is available beginning on page 15 and on the survey Web site.

Complete the survey, and enter to win an Amazon Kindle

To participate in the 2008 study, please go to http://www.plinternetsurvey.org and follow the “Complete Survey” button. You will need to enter your library’s survey ID number (located on the back of the survey form). The survey ID number has a total of two letters followed by four numbers, and is your FSCS library number as assigned by the state library. If you cannot remember and/or locate your library’s survey ID number, the survey Web site provides a link to locate your library ID by state and city. If you prefer, you may complete this print version of the survey and mail/fax your responses back (the contact information is located at the end of they survey).

The survey is not timed. You may complete part of it, save your answers, and return to it at a later time. You may also answer part of the survey and have other members of your library staff answer other parts, if appropriate. Please be sure to complete the survey by November 7, 2008. Once completed, you will be able to print or save the answers you provided and keep a copy for your own records.

If you have any questions or issues regarding the survey, please call (850) 645-2197 or e-mail support@plinternetsurvey.org.
A. LIBRARY BRANCH LEVEL QUESTIONS

A.1: Availability, Connectivity & Access

1a. How many total average hours per typical week is THIS LIBRARY BRANCH open to the public? (ENTER THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER IN THE BLANK Rounding to the nearest hour)

| Library branch is permanently closed (thank you, please return survey) |
| Library branch is temporarily closed (thank you, please return survey) |
| Library branch is open _____ average hours/week (e.g., 30, 35) [please go to question 1b] |

1b. In the current fiscal year, the total average hours per typical week that THIS LIBRARY BRANCH is open to the public has: (MARK ONE • ONLY AND ENTER THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER IN THE BLANK)

| Increased since last fiscal year _____ # hours increased (round to nearest hour) |
| Decreased since last fiscal year _____ # hours decreased (round to nearest hour) |
| Stayed the same as last fiscal year |

2. Does THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer public Internet access? (MARK ONE • ONLY)

| No (thank you, please return the survey) |
| Yes (please go to question 3) |

3. During a typical day, does THIS LIBRARY BRANCH have people waiting to use its public Internet workstations? (MARK ONE • ONLY)

| Yes, there are consistently fewer public Internet workstations than patrons who wish to use them throughout a typical day (i.e., there are always patrons waiting to use them) |
| Yes, there are fewer public Internet workstations than patrons who wish to use them at different times throughout a typical day (e.g., during the morning, during lunch time, or evenings) |
| No, there are always sufficient public Internet workstations available for patrons who wish to use them during a typical day |

4a. Does THIS LIBRARY BRANCH currently have time limits for patron use of public Internet workstations? (MARK ONE • ONLY)

| No (please go to question 5a) |
| Yes, there are time limits for the public Internet workstations (please complete questions 4b and 4c) |
| Don't know (please go to question 5a) |

4b. If THIS LIBRARY BRANCH’S public Internet workstations have time limits, please indicate the period of time per session for which a patron may reserve a public Internet workstation:

| Internet Session Time Limits (MARK ONE • ONLY) | Total Internet Session Per Day (MARK ONE • ONLY) |
| Up to 30 minutes per session | One session per day |
| 31–60 minutes per session | Two sessions per day |
4c. Please describe **how THIS LIBRARY BRANCH manages** patron public Internet workstation time limits: (MARK ONE ● ONLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Internet Workstation/Laptop Time Limits</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 60 minutes per session</td>
<td>Unlimited, but patrons must sign up for each session separately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlimited, as long as no one is waiting</td>
<td>Unlimited, as long as no one is waiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Please specify):</td>
<td>Other (Please specify):</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5a. Please indicate the **number and age of the PUBLIC Internet workstations/laptops** available at THIS LIBRARY BRANCH (include in the count library-provided laptops and multi-purpose workstations that allow access to the Internet. Exclude staff workstations and those that only access the library’s Web-based Online Public Access Catalogs). **Even if you cannot estimate the ages of the workstations, please provide the total number of workstations.** (ENTER THE APPROPRIATE NUMBERS IN THE BLANKS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Public Internet Workstations/Laptops</th>
<th>Average Public Internet Workstation/Laptop Age (please determine age as of September 1, 2008)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>___ TOTAL public Internet workstations/laptops</td>
<td>____ public Internet workstations/laptops less than 1 year old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>____ public Internet workstations/laptops 1 year old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>____ public Internet workstations/laptops 2 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>____ public Internet workstations/laptops 3 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>____ public Internet workstations/laptops 4 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>____ public Internet workstations/laptops 5 years or older</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5b. Please identify THIS LIBRARY BRANCH’s **public Internet workstation/laptop replacement schedule**: (MARK ONE ● ONLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replacement Schedule</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The library does not have a public Internet workstation replacement schedule (please go to question 5e)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library’s approximate public Internet workstation replacement schedule is (please go to question 5c):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every 2 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every 4 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every 5 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Please specify):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Don't know (please go to question 5e)
5c. Please identify THIS LIBRARY BRANCH’S public Internet workstation/laptop replacement approach: (MARK ONE • ONLY)

| Staggered—the library replaces some workstations each year to replace all over the specified replacement schedule |
| Complete—the library replaces workstations all at one time |
| Other (please specify): |

5d. Is THIS LIBRARY BRANCH able to maintain its public access workstation/laptop replacement schedule? (MARK ONE • ONLY)

| The library has no workstation replacement or addition schedule |
| No, the library will not be able to maintain its replacement or addition schedule within the next year |
| Yes, and the library plans to replace _____ workstations/laptops within the next year |
| Yes, but the library does not know how many workstations/laptops it will replace within the next year at this time |

5e. Does THIS LIBRARY BRANCH plan to add to the total number of public Internet workstations or laptops in the coming year? (MARK ONE • ONLY)

| Yes, the library branch plans to add _____ workstations/laptops within the next year |
| Yes, but the library branch does not know how many workstations/laptops will be added within the next year |
| No, the library does not plan to add workstations/laptops within the next year |
| Other (please specify): |

5f. Please identify the most important factors that affect THIS LIBRARY BRANCH’S ability or plans to add or replace more public Internet workstations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors Affecting Adding Workstations/Laptops (MARK UP TO • THREE)</th>
<th>Factors Affecting Replacing Workstations/Laptops (MARK THE MOST IMPORTANT ONE • ONLY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability of space</td>
<td>Cost factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost factors</td>
<td>Maintenance, upgrade, and general upkeep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance, upgrade, and general upkeep</td>
<td>Availability of technical or other staff to install, maintain, and update the public access computers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of public service staff to manage the use of the public access computers and users</td>
<td>Other (please specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of technical staff to install, maintain, and update the public access computers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. When a public access computer at THIS LIBRARY BRANCH goes out of service for any reason other than a computer requiring rebooting, on average how long does it take to get it back into service? (MARK ONE ● ONLY)

| Availability of bandwidth to support additional workstations |
| Availability of electrical outlets, cabling, or other infrastructure |
| Other (please specify): |

| Less than one day |
| One day |
| Two days |
| More than two days |
| Don't know |
| Other (please specify): |

7. Please indicate who provides information technology (IT) support (e.g., troubleshooting workstation problems, contracting for Internet connectivity, managing the library Web page) for THIS LIBRARY BRANCH. Please also estimate the number of Full-time Equivalent (FTE) staff providing IT support: (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of IT Support</th>
<th>Full-time Equivalents (FTEs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't Know (if you cannot identify the number of FTEs, indicate Don't Know)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building-based staff (not IT specialist) Please identify who the staff person(s) is (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY): Public service staff Library director Other (please specify):</td>
<td>_____ FTEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building-based IT staff (IT specialist)</td>
<td>_____ FTEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System-level IT staff</td>
<td>_____ FTEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library consortia or other library organization (please identify):</td>
<td>_____ FTEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County/City IT staff</td>
<td>_____ FTEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State telecommunications network staff</td>
<td>_____ FTEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State library IT staff</td>
<td>_____ FTEs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8a. Please indicate the type AND maximum speed of THIS LIBRARY BRANCH'S PUBLIC Internet service connection. (MARK APPROPRIATELY • IN EACH COLUMN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Connection</th>
<th>Maximum Speed of Connection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSL (Digital Subscriber Line)</td>
<td>Less than 256Kbps (kilobits/second)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable</td>
<td>257Kbps–768Kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leased Line</td>
<td>769Kbps–1.4Mbps (megabits/second)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Networks</td>
<td>1.5Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State network</td>
<td>1.6Mbps–3.0Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>3.1Mbps–6.0Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber</td>
<td>6.1Mbps–10Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless (i.e., municipal wireless)</td>
<td>Greater than 10 Mbps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other (please specify):

Don't know (If you do not know your library’s connection type, please contact an individual or group who may know before checking “Don’t know”)

Don't know (If you do not know your library’s connection speed, please contact an individual or group who may know before checking “Don’t know”)

8b. Given the observed uses of THIS LIBRARY BRANCH’S public Internet access services by patrons, does the library branch’s public Internet service connection speed meet patron needs? (MARK ONE • ONLY)

- The connection speed is insufficient to meet patron needs
- The connection speed is sufficient to meet patron needs at some times
- The connection speed is sufficient to meet patron needs at all times
- Don’t know

8c. If desired, would THIS LIBRARY BRANCH be able to increase the speed of its public Internet service connection at this time? (MARK ONE • ONLY)

- No, this is the maximum speed available to the library branch
- No, there is no interest in increasing the speed of the library’s public access Internet connection
- Yes, but we cannot afford the cost of increasing the branch’s bandwidth
- Yes, and we have plans to increase the bandwidth within the next year
- Yes, but we have no plans to increase the bandwidth within the next year
- Yes, but we do not have the technical knowledge to increase the bandwidth in the library
- Other (please specify):
9a. Is wireless (wi-fi) Internet access available (e.g., with patron laptops, PDAs, or other wireless devices) within THIS LIBRARY BRANCH? (MARK ONE ● ONLY)

- Yes, wireless access is currently available for public use within the library branch
- No, wireless access is not currently available for public use within the library branch, but there are plans to make it available to the public within the next year (please go to question 10)
- No, wireless access is not currently available for public use within the library branch, and there are no plans to make it available to the public within the next year (please go to question 10)

9b. If applicable, does the library branch’s wireless connection share the same bandwidth/connection as the library’s public Internet workstations? (MARK ONE ● ONLY)

- Yes, both the wireless connection and public access workstations share the same bandwidth/connection with no bandwidth management techniques to manage data transmission
- Yes, both the wireless connection and public access workstations share the same bandwidth/connection, but with bandwidth management techniques to manage data transmission
- No, the public wireless connection is separate from the public access workstation bandwidth/connection
- Don’t know (If you do not know if the connection is shared, please contact an individual or group who may know before checking “Don’t know”)

A.2: Service Provision & Impact of Computer and Internet Access

10. Please identify the public Internet services that are the most critical to the role of THIS LIBRARY BRANCH in its local community? (MARK ● UP TO FIVE)

- Provide education resources and databases for K–12 students
- Provide education resources and databases for students in higher education
- Provide education resources and databases for home schooling
- Provide education resources and databases for adult/continuing education students
- Provide information for economic development (e.g., start a business, seek business opportunities)
- Provide information for college applicants
- Provide information about the library’s community
- Provide information or databases regarding investments
- Provide access to government information and services, like tax forms, Medicare information or paying traffic tickets
- Provide computer and Internet skills training
- Provide services for job seekers
- Provide services to immigrant populations
- Other (please specify):
11a. Does THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer formal or informal information technology training classes to its patrons? (MARK ONE • ONLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, the library offers formal information technology training classes</td>
<td>directly to its patrons (please go to question 11b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, the library does not offer formal technology training classes</td>
<td>does not offer informal point-of-use assistance (e.g., one-on-one help with web browsing, using library databases, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, the library does not offer formal technology training classes</td>
<td>does provide access to online training material (e.g., Web-based tutorials, Web-based presentations, online technology services such as ElementK, etc.) (please go to question 12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, the library does not offer any technology training (please go to</td>
<td>question 12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11b. Please identify the **formal technology-based training classes** THIS LIBRARY BRANCH has **offered to its patrons** in the last year: (MARK ALL • THAT APPLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General computer skills (e.g., how to use a mouse and keyboard, printing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General computer software use (e.g., word processing, spreadsheets, presentation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Internet use (e.g., set up e-mail, Web browsing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General online/Web searching (e.g., using Google, Yahoo! or others to locate information)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using the library’s Online Public Access Catalog (OPAC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using online databases (e.g., using commercial databases to search and find content)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe online practices (e.g., not divulging personal information)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessing online government information (e.g., Medicare, taxes, how to complete forms)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessing online job-seeking and career-related information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessing online medical information (e.g., health literacy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessing online investment information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital photography, software and online applications (e.g., Photoshop, Flickr)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web 2.0 (e.g., blogging, RSS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12a. Please identify the **services that the library makes available to users** either in **THIS LIBRARY BRANCH** or remotely (i.e., Web site). Include services that the library may not provide or pay for directly (i.e., statewide databases, digital reference). If the library branch does not offer the service or offers limited access, please also answer question 12b: (MARK • ALL THAT APPLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Offers Service</th>
<th>Does Not Offer Service</th>
<th>Provides Limited Access*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digital reference/Virtual reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensed databases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-books</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video conferencing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online instructional courses/tutorials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homework Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio content (e.g., music, audio books, other)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video content (e.g., streaming video, video clips, other)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digitized special collections (e.g., letters, postcards, documents, other)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow patrons to access and store content on USB or other portable drives (e.g., iPods, MP3, other)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow patrons to connect digital cameras and manipulate content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow patrons to burn compact discs/DVDs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide access to recreational gaming consoles, software, or Web sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Limited access might include limited to certain computers, certain times of day, or other restrictions

12b. If the library branch **does not provide access, or provides limited access**, to services in question 12a, please **indicate the factors that prevent** the library branch from doing so: (MARK • ALL THAT APPLY)

- Computer hardware/software on public Internet workstations will not support service(s)
- Public access Internet connectivity speeds will not support service(s)
- Library policy restricts offering or access to service(s)
- Library cannot afford to purchase and/or support service(s)
13. Is THIS LIBRARY BRANCH the only **free of charge public computer and Internet access venue** in the library’s service area? (MARK ONE ☑ ONLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes, the library is the only place in the community that provides <strong>free</strong> public computer and Internet access services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No, there are other places in the community that provide <strong>free</strong> public computer and Internet access services (i.e., community technology centers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify):</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Please indicate the **e-government roles and services** THIS LIBRARY BRANCH provides to its patrons **on a regular basis**: (MARK ☑ ALL THAT APPLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library staff provide assistance to patrons applying for or accessing e-government services (e.g., completing Medicare Part D forms; applying for licenses; accessing tax forms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Library staff provide as-needed assistance to patrons for understanding how to access and use government Web sites, programs, and services (e.g., assistance navigating the Web site, helping users understand the programs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library staff provide immigrants with assistance in locating immigration information, using government immigration related Web sites, filing immigration or visa forms, and/or other immigration related services and information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library offers training classes regarding the use of government Web sites, understanding government programs, and completing electronic forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library is partnering with government agencies, non-profit organizations, and others to provide e-government services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library has at least one staff member who has significant knowledge and skills in the provision of e-government services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library does not provide e-government services to its patrons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify):</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. LIBRARY SYSTEM LEVEL QUESTIONS—FUNDING PUBLIC ACCESS

15a. Did the library apply for E-rate discounts during the July 1, 2008, E-rate funding year? (MARK ONE • ONLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes (If yes, please go to question 15c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, another organization applied on the library’s behalf (If yes, please go to question 15c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (If no, skip to question 15b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure (If unsure, skip to question 16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15b. If this library did not apply for E-rate discounts in 2008, it was because: (MARK • ALL THAT APPLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The E-rate application process is too complicated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library staff did not feel that the library would qualify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our total E-rate discount is fairly low and not worth the time needed to participate in the program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library receives E-rate discounts as part of a consortium, so therefore does not apply individually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library was denied funding in the past and thus is discouraged from applying in subsequent years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library did not apply because of the need to comply with CIPA’s (Children’s Internet Protection Act) filtering requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library has applied for E-rate in the past, but no longer finds it necessary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15c. If this library is, or will be, receiving E-rate discounts during the July 1, 2008, E-rate funding year, please indicate for which services the library receives E-rate funds: (MARK • ALL THAT APPLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internet connectivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal connection costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Does the library currently receive, or anticipate receiving in the next two years, any of the following funding sources to operate the library? (MARK • ALL THAT APPLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>FY2008</th>
<th>FY2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local/county</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (including state aid to public libraries or state-supported tax programs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal (including LSTA and E-rate discounts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees/Fines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations/local fund raising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government grants (local, state, or national level)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private foundation grants (e.g., Carnegie, Ford, Gates, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17a. For the **fiscal years 2008 and 2009**, please mark whether the total library operating budget remained (and is anticipated to remain) the same, increased or decreased and in what amount (MARK ONE • ONLY FOR EACH FISCAL YEAR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year 2008 Operating Budget (current fiscal year)</th>
<th>Increased</th>
<th>Decreased</th>
<th>Stayed the Same</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 2%</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1%–4%</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1%–6%</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 6%</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year 2009 Operating Budget (next fiscal year)</th>
<th>Increased</th>
<th>Decreased</th>
<th>Stayed the Same</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 2%</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1%–4%</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1%–6%</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 6%</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17b. Please indicate whether your library is **able to report the following detail on its expenditures. Please MARK only those boxes for which expenditure data are reportable.** An unmarked box indicates a **NO** response (e.g., the library **cannot report** this expenditure detail). For those figures that you are able to report, please insert the corresponding dollar amounts in Question 18.

**NOTE:** *Report all expenditures in "Local/County" if they cannot be isolated to a particular funding source.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Funding</th>
<th>Salaries (including benefits)</th>
<th>Collections</th>
<th>Other Expenditures (including contractual services, hardware, software, peripherals)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local/county</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (including state aid to public libraries, or state-supported tax programs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees/fines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations/local fund raising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government grants (local, state or national level)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private foundation grants (e.g., Carnegie, Ford, Gates, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
18. For those items identified in Question 17, please indicate in whole dollars your library's total operating expenditures (actual or anticipated) and expenditures from various funding sources for fiscal years 2008 and 2009.

NOTE: Report all expenditures in “Local/County” if they cannot be isolated to a particular funding source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year 2008 Expense Category</th>
<th>Source of Funding</th>
<th>Salaries (including benefits)</th>
<th>Collections</th>
<th>Other Expenditures (including contractual services)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local/county</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State (including state aid to public libraries, or state-supported tax programs)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fees/finances</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donations/local fund raising</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government grants (local, state or national level)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private foundation grants (e.g., Carnegie, Ford, Gates, etc.)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL (all sources)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year 2008 Expense Category</th>
<th>Source of Funding</th>
<th>Salaries (including benefits)</th>
<th>Collections</th>
<th>Other Expenditures (including contractual services)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local/county</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State (including state aid to public libraries, or state-supported tax programs)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fees/finances</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donations/local fund raising</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government grants (local, state or national level)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private foundation grants (e.g., Carnegie, Ford, Gates, etc.)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL (all sources)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19a. Did your library receive financial support for its technology expenditures from outside entities on behalf of the library during the current fiscal year (FY2008)? “On behalf of” support includes services paid directly by another government office or another entity for the library (e.g., IT technicians, equipment purchases, etc.). Technology expenditures include staff salaries, any outside vendors providing IT services or support, hardware/software, and telecommunications costs. (MARK ONE • ONLY)

| The library pays directly for **all** of its technology costs (please go to question 20) |
| The library pays directly for **some** of its technology costs (please go to question 19c) |
| The library **does not pay directly for any** of its technology costs (e.g., all IT staff, hardware and telecommunications costs are paid for by the city or county (please go to question 19c) |

19b. If desired, please provide any additional detail regarding the technology expenditures for your library:

19c. If **all or some** library technology expenses are paid by another government office or another organization in FY2008 on behalf of the library, please indicate what office or organization provides this support and for which services. An office or organization may provide direct support for more than one technology expense. “On behalf of” means the outside agency or organization pays directly for the support and no funding passes through the library operating budget. (MARK • ALL THAT APPLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency or Organization</th>
<th>Salaries</th>
<th>Outside Vendors</th>
<th>Hardware/Software</th>
<th>Telecommunications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local government (e.g., municipal IT department)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional library network, cooperative or consortia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State government (including the state library)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private funder (e.g., endowment, board/trustees)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
20. Does the library expect its **total technology expenditures** for the current and next fiscal years (FY2009 and FY2010) to increase, decrease or remain the same? If increasing or decreasing, please mark the anticipated amount of change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year 2009 Technology Budget (current fiscal year)</th>
<th>Increased</th>
<th>Decreased</th>
<th>Stayed the Same</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1%–4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1%–6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year 2010 Technology Budget (next fiscal year)</th>
<th>Increased</th>
<th>Decreased</th>
<th>Stayed the Same</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1%–4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1%–6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. Please indicate in whole dollars your library’s **total technology-related operating expenditures** (actual or anticipated) and expenditures from various funding sources for **fiscal year 2009**. To the extent possible please **EXCLUDE** expenditures for staff hardware/software. **NOTE:** Report all expenditures in "Local/County" if they cannot be isolated to a particular funding source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year 2009 Technology Expense Category</th>
<th>Salaries (including benefits)</th>
<th>Outside Vendors</th>
<th>Computer Hardware/Computer Software</th>
<th>Telecommunications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source of Funding</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/county</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (including state aid to public libraries, or state-supported tax programs)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees/fines</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations/local fund raising</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government grants (local, state or national level)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private foundation grants (e.g., Carnegie, Ford, Gates, etc.)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL (all sources)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Glossary of Survey Abbreviations/Key Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIPA (Children's Internet Protection Act)</td>
<td>A Federal law requiring the use of filters on public Internet workstations when the library receives either LSTA or E-rate (see below) funds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collections</td>
<td>The library collection consists of all documents provided by a library for its users. Collections comprise documents held locally and remote resources for which permanent or temporary access rights have been acquired. Notes: Access rights may be acquired by the library itself, by a consortium and/or through external funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer hardware</td>
<td>The physical components that make up a computer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer software</td>
<td>The programs that are run on a computer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Reference/Virtual Reference</td>
<td>The provision of interactive reference services for patrons via email, chat, or other electronic means.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-books</td>
<td>Digital documents, licensed or not, where searchable text is prevalent, and which can be seen as analogous to a printed text. (Based on NISO Standard Z39.7 definition, see <a href="http://www.niso.org/emetrics">http://www.niso.org/emetrics</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-government</td>
<td>The use of technology, predominantly the Internet, as a means to deliver government services to citizens, businesses, and other entities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-rate Funds</td>
<td>Funding provided by the federal government through the Universal Service Fund to libraries to cover expenses associated with Internet access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Government Revenue</td>
<td>This includes all federal government funds distributed to public libraries, including federal money distributed by the state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
<td>A financial 12-month period as reckoned for reporting, accounting, and/or taxation purposes (i.e., the date range that a library uses in reporting to local government agencies).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal Technology Training Classes</td>
<td>Technology training classes offered or sponsored by the with a set curriculum and course instructor. The class may occur in the library or in another facility, and the instructor may or may not be a member of the library staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Sources</td>
<td><strong>Local/county government</strong>—Includes all tax and non-tax receipts designated by the community, district, or region and available for expenditure by the library. The value of any contributed or in-kind services or the value of any gifts and donations are excluded. <strong>State</strong>—All funds distributed to the library by State government for expenditure by the library, except for federal money distributed by the State. This includes funds from such sources as penal fines, license fees, and mineral rights. <strong>Federal</strong>—All federal government funds distributed to the library for expenditure by the library, including federal money distributed by the State.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>See “Recreational Gaming”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glossary of Survey Abbreviations/Key Terms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hours Open in a Typical Week</strong></td>
<td>If a library is open from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, it should report 40 hours per week. Should the library also be open one evening from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m., the total hours during which users can find service becomes 42.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information Technology Budget</strong></td>
<td>Funds allocated specifically for the costs associated with information technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information Technology Training</strong></td>
<td>Formal or informal training sessions that cover specific topics (e.g., Web browser basics, Internet searching, basic computing skills).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kbps</strong></td>
<td>Kilobits per second.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Library Branch</strong></td>
<td>A library facility. In the case of some public libraries, there is only one facility. Other public libraries have several facilities, which are sometimes referred to as branches of a library system. A branch has at least all of the following: 1. Separate quarters; 2. An organized collection of library materials; 3. Paid staff; and 4. Regularly scheduled hours for being open to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Library System</strong></td>
<td>Any independent library, or a group of libraries, under a single director or a single administration. Note 1: The term “independent” does not imply legal or financial independence but only that the library is a recognizable separate unit, typically within a larger organization. Note 2: Typically the administrative unit is an organization containing a central/main library, branch libraries and administrative functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA) State Programs Revenue</strong></td>
<td>Through the Grants to States program, the Institute of Museum and Library Services provides funds to State Library Administrative Agencies (SLAAs) using a population-based formula. State libraries may use the appropriation to support statewide initiatives and services. They also may distribute the funds through subgrant competitions or cooperative agreements to public, academic, research, school, and special libraries in their state. (<a href="http://www.imls.gov/programs/programs.shtm">http://www.imls.gov/programs/programs.shtm</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Licensed Databases</strong></td>
<td>Collection of electronically stored data or unit records (facts, bibliographic data, and texts) with a common user interface and software for the retrieval and manipulation of the data. Licensed databases are those typically contracted through a vendor by the library for patron access (e.g., Gale, Ebsco, ProQuest). (Based on NISO Standard Z39.7 definition, see <a href="http://www.niso.org/emetrics">http://www.niso.org/emetrics</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Government Revenue</strong></td>
<td>This includes all local government funds designated by the community, district, or region and available for expenditure by the public library. Do not include the value of any contributed or in-kind services or the value of any gifts and donations, library fines, fees, or grants. Do not include state, federal, and other funds passed through local government for library use. Report these funds with state government revenue or federal government revenue, as appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mbps</strong></td>
<td>Megabits per second.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“On Behalf Of”</strong></td>
<td>An outside agency or organization pays directly for the support and no funding passes through the library operating budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Online Public Access Catalogs (OPACs)</strong></td>
<td>An electronic catalog of library materials and/or services that patrons can access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GLOSSARY OF SURVEY ABBREVIATIONS/KEY TERMS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current and recurrent costs necessary for the provision of library services, such as personnel, library materials, binding, supplies, repair or replacement of existing furnishings and equipment, and costs incurred in the operation and maintenance of the physical facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expense categories include:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salaries/benefits</strong>— All monies paid before deductions to all library staff paid from library's budget (reporting unit's budget) for work performed. This definition INCLUDES employee fringe benefits. Professional staff are staff members doing work that requires professional education (the master's degree or its equivalent) in the theoretical and scientific aspects of librarianship; also, in some libraries, staff performing professional level tasks who, though not librarians, have equivalent education and training in related fields (e.g., archives, computer sciences, business administration, education). Also include paid support staff and paid student workers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collections</strong>— All expenditures for materials purchased or leased for use by the public, such as print materials (including microforms), machine-readable materials, audio-visual materials, etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other expenditures</strong>— Operating expenditures not included in any other expenditure subcategory. (Also called Miscellaneous Expenditures).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Operating Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This includes all expenditures other than those reported for Total Staff Expenditures and Total Collection Expenditures. Note: Include expenses such as binding, supplies, repair or replacement of existing furnishings and equipment; and costs of computer hardware and software used to support library operations or to link to external networks, including the Internet. Report contracts for services, such as costs of operating and maintaining physical facilities, and fees paid to a consultant, auditor, architect, attorney, etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outside Vendor</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A service supplier (e.g., technical support, computer repair) who is not directly associated with the library.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Internet Workstations</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Those workstations within the library outlet that provide public access to the Internet, including those that provide access to a limited set of Internet-based services such as online databases. This includes circulating laptops.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Library Single Outlet System or Library System Headquarters</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A library system may be a single main or central library, or may be the operational center of a multiple-outlet library. Usually all processing is centralized here and the principal collections are housed here.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Library Branch</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A branch library is an auxiliary unit of an administrative entity which has at least all of the following: 1) Separate quarters; 2) An organized collection of library materials; 3) Paid staff; and 4) Regularly scheduled hours for being open to the public.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recreational Gaming</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational gaming includes consoles like Xbox, Playstation, or Wii; software like The Sims; or Web sites like Runescape. It does not refer to gambling.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GLOSSARY OF SURVEY ABBREVIATIONS/KEY TERMS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Government Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These are all funds distributed to public libraries by state government for expenditure by the public libraries, except for federal money distributed by the state. This includes funds from such sources as penal fines, license fees, and mineral rights. Note: If operating revenue from consolidated taxes is the result of state legislation, the revenue should be reported under state revenue (even though the revenue may be from multiple sources).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technology-Related Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Include <em>Computer Hardware, Software, Supplies and Maintenance expenditures, and Electronic Access Expenditures.</em> Telephone lines can be included as a Technology-Related Expenditure only if they are used to provide Internet access. Computer Hardware, Software, Supplies and Maintenance expenditures are defined as expenditures from the library budget for computer hardware and software used to support library operations, whether purchased or leased, mainframe or microcomputer. Includes expenditures for maintenance and for equipment used to run information service products when that expenditure can be separated from the price of the product. Electronic Access Expenditures are defined as all operating expenditures from the library budget associated with access to electronic materials and services. Include computer hardware and software used to support library operations, whether purchased or leased, mainframe and microcomputer. Includes expenditures for maintenance. Includes expenditures for services provided by national, regional, and local bibliographic utilities, networks, consortia and commercial services. Includes all fees and usage costs associated with such services as OCLC FirstSearch or electronic document delivery. Excludes capital expenditures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telecommunications</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Include in this category any expenditures related to providing Internet connectivity, including the installation, configuration, and ongoing costs related to a telecommunication circuit. This includes Internet connection types such as DSL, cable, a leased line (i.e. frame relay), and fiber optics. You should also include any network support charges related to this circuit and any costs for hardware needed to make the connection, such as routers, CSU/DSUs, or other telecommunications equipment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is the sum of Local Government Revenue, State Government Revenue, Federal Government Revenue, and the other operating revenue (e.g., fees/fines, grants, etc.).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Typical Week</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A “typical week” is a time that is neither unusually busy nor unusually slow. Avoid holidays, vacation periods, days when unusual events are taking place in the community or in the library. Choose a week in which the library is open regular hours.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wireless Internet Access</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet access that does not require a direct connection (typically Ethernet) for access. Most typically, wireless access adheres to the IEEE 802.11 standard for interoperability and compatibility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workstation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A computer and related components (including a monitor, keyboard, hard drive, and software) that are capable of displaying graphical images, pictorial representations, and/or other multi-media formats.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

For questions concerning the survey, please contact:

Information Use Management and Policy Institute
College of Information
Florida State University
142 Collegiate Loop
PO Box 3062100
Tallahassee, FL 32306-2100
(850) 645-2197 phone
(850) 644-4522 fax
<support@plinternetsurvey.org> e-mail
APPENDIX B
2008 CHIEF OFFICERS OF STATE LIBRARY AGENCIES QUESTIONNAIRE

Welcome to the 2008-09 questionnaire for State Library Agencies, one of three parts of the Public Library Funding and Technology Access Study, www.ala.org/plinternetfunding, which is funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and the American Library Association.

Findings from this survey deepen our understanding of U.S. public library funding, connectivity and sustainability, as well help elucidate trends suggested by the data we gather through site visits the national online survey administered by the ALA and the Information Institute at Florida State University.

Last year, we received a 90 percent response rate, which we hope to maintain or improve this year!

The questions below are grouped in four categories: budget/funding, connectivity and e-government, library staff and trustees, and advocacy. The advocacy questions are for internal use to the study team.

Please complete the survey by December 19, 2008. As was the case last year, we will share back our aggregated findings with all of the participating states before the final complete study is published in September 2009.

Thank you in advance for sharing your time and expertise with us. If you have questions about this questionnaire, please contact Larra Clark at lclark@ala.org or 800-545-2433 x2129.

Budget + Finance

1. Please select the statement that best describes the level of state support (either directly or through a state-supported regional network) for public libraries in FY08.

- State funding for public libraries has increased.
- State funding for public libraries has decreased.
- There has been no change in state funding for public libraries.
- There is no state aid to public libraries (direct or through a state network) in my state.

2. Increased by what percentage?

- 1–2%
- 3–4%
- 5–6%
- 7–8%
- 9–10%
- 11% or more
3. Decreased by what percentage?
   - 1–2%
   - 3–4%
   - 5–6%
   - 7–8%
   - 9–10%
   - 11% or more

4. Please select the statement that you believe best describes the level of local public funding for most public libraries in your state in FY08.
   - Most libraries have received increased local government funding
   - Most libraries have received decreased local government funding
   - Most libraries have received no change in local government funding
   - There is no majority of libraries in any of these categories

5. Increased by what percentage?
   - 1–2%
   - 3–4%
   - 5–6%
   - 7–8%
   - 9–10%
   - 11% or more

6. Decreased by what percentage?
   - 1–2%
   - 3–4%
   - 5–6%
   - 7–8%
   - 9–10%
   - 11% or more

7. How significantly have the following factors impacted local and state funding for public libraries in your state in the past 12 months? (1=Very Significant; 3=Not Significant)

   Reduced property tax revenue
   State budget deficit
   Shift in funding priorities to other government services (e.g., public safety)
   Loss of major industry
   Increased unemployment
   Reduced consumer spending and accompanying sales tax revenue
   Growing voter resistance to all taxes, including library referenda
   Other factors:

   1  2  3  n/a

8. Now we would like to ask you about your FY09 budget. Please select the statement that best describes your experience with cuts in state funding for public libraries after your FY09 budget was approved. Please select only one response.
○ Our state has enacted a midyear cut, and I anticipate there may be another cut before the close of FY09
○ Our state has enacted a midyear cut
○ Our state has not yet enacted a midyear cut, but I anticipate there may be a cut before the close of FY09
○ I do not anticipate a midyear cut, but I anticipate less funding in FY10
○ I do not anticipate any cuts in state funding in FY09 or FY10
○ There is no state aid to public libraries (direct or through a state network) in my state.
○ I don’t know

9. When you think about state funding cuts, were those decreases (or anticipated decreases) comparable to those experienced (or anticipated) by other state government agencies and offices?
○ The cuts were the same as other agencies
○ The cuts were greater than those to other agencies
○ The cuts were less than those to other agencies
○ I don’t know

10. Has your state enacted, by legislation or referenda, a cap on property taxes?
○ Yes, a cap was instituted more than two years ago
○ Yes, a cap was instituted within the past two years
○ No, but a cap is being considered
○ No, there is no cap
○ Other (please specify)

11. Please tell us about recent (last three years) or upcoming state broadband initiatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Currently in place</th>
<th>Planned for future</th>
<th>No plan at this time</th>
<th>Done previously, no plans for the future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statewide summit</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide taskforce</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiations with ISPs</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other initiative(s):</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. In thinking about the scope of state e-government services, please indicate if an online form or application is required. If not required, please indicate in which formats the agency form or application are available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Available online only</th>
<th>Available online</th>
<th>Available in paper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment benefits</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State government jobs</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human services (e.g., children and family services, food stamps)</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax forms</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMV renewal</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permits and licenses</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency preparedness</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigration services staff + trustees</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other e-government service(s):</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13. What role, if any, does the state library play in state e-government efforts? Please check all that apply.

- State library has developed or assisted in developing e-government portal(s)
- State library is represented on state-level e-government coordinating group
- State library partners with other government agencies on e-government efforts
- State library advocates with other government agencies for funding and/or training for public library staff to support state e-government efforts
- State library raises awareness of the public library as an e-government venue
- State library alerts public libraries to new e-government initiatives
- The state does not have any e-government efforts underway at this time
- The state library does not have a role in state e-government efforts at this time

14. Please give a brief example of a recent e-government effort in which the state library played a role.

Library Staff + Trustees

15. Does your state have certification requirements for ANY of your public library staff?

- Yes
- No
- Considering

16. Does your state currently have certification requirements for public library staff?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Library Directors</th>
<th>Yes, one-time process</th>
<th>Yes, renewed periodically</th>
<th>Considering for future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLS Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraprofessional staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. If yes, is there any specific requirement for ongoing technology training or demonstrated technology skills? Please check all that apply.

- Yes, for public library directors
- Yes, for MLS staff
- Yes, for paraprofessional staff
- No, but we are planning to add such a requirement in the coming 12 months
- No, there is no such requirement

18. Please provide the URL for state certification information here.

19. Please rank (1–4) what you believe are the greatest barriers to improving the technology skills of public library staff in your state. (1=most significant barrier; 4=least significant barrier)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding to pay for training opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of existing training opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability of staff to participate in training opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest/willingness of library staff to participate in training opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If there are no barriers, type “none” in the text box below; if there is a significant barrier we have not listed, please describe briefly.
20. What state resources are available to educate public library trustees to their obligations and liabilities in the public library context? Please check all that apply.

- Our state library provides a handbook or manual
- State library staff provide on-site trustee training on request
- State library staff answer questions and provide assistance as-needed
- State library association conference provides programs for trustees
- State or regional cooperative provides programs for trustees
- There are no state-level resources available now, but we plan to add in the coming year
- There are no state-level resources
- Other resource(s):

21. If available, please provide the URL for information on handbook and/or orientation.

Advocacy + Marketing

In addition to publishing research findings annual in September, the project team is committed to increasing awareness of these findings within the profession and among decisionmakers at all levels.

There are several ways we can focus our efforts, and we appreciate your feedback on the following questions that will help inform future priorities and investments of time, energy and funding.

22. What print or online sources (e.g. American Libraries, Wired, Governing) do you follow most closely to stay on top of professional news?

23. If resources were no issue, please rank (1–7) which of the following would have the greatest impact in reaching state and local elected officials with a targeted positive public library technology message. (1=Greatest Impact; 7=Least Impact)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>News coverage in mainstream media (newspapers, radio, TV)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising in mainstream media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News coverage in governing publications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising in governing publications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibiting/speaking at government conventions (e.g. ICMA or National League of Cities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct mail to elected leaders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based techniques such as lists, Web site announcements, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24. Why do you believe your top choice is the best approach? Are there other approaches you would recommend?

25. How likely is it that state library staff would use findings from the Public Library Funding & Technology Access Study for the following purposes? (1=Currently Using; 4=Not Likely)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assist with developing testimony for state or local governing hearings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assist with setting budget priorities for public library technology initiatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assist with library development training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assist with message development around public library technology concerns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assist with media around public library technology concerns As-needed reference resource</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
26. How could the research team best leverage data from the Public Library Funding & Technology Access Study to improve public awareness and funding for libraries in your state? Please check all that apply.

- Web portal that allows local libraries to produce custom reports with study data
- Web portal with continuing education modules on how to use the data
- Template(s) for media materials
- Template(s) for marketing materials (i.e. bookmarks, flyers, brochures)
- Template(s) for budget presentations
- On-site state or regional training sessions on how best to use and analyze the data
- Toolkit with study, presentation and templates

Are there other approach(es) you would recommend?

Other

27. If you have additional information about the state of funding, technology deployment and use, and advocacy related to public libraries in your state that you think is important for us to know, please share that information here.

Contact Information

28. Please enter your contact information.

Name:
State:
Email Address:
Phone Number:

Thank you!

Thank you again for your support of and participation in the largest and longest-running study of computers and the Internet in U.S. public libraries. The “30,000-foot” state library perspective greatly adds to our data from the local library level and helps provide a more complete picture of the context public libraries work within to provide public access technology to communities nationwide.

Over the years, the ALA and others have used study findings to inform the debates regarding support for the E-rate, public access to the Internet in libraries, and other initiatives through testimony and advocacy efforts on behalf of libraries. In fact, last year's findings were immediately used in September 2008 congressional testimony provided by Margaret Conroy and Mary Claire Zales.

The study team also is committed to raising awareness of these findings through national media outreach and development of supporting materials like issues briefs. The first of these—highlighting data related to Internet connectivity—is available here. Based on feedback from COSLA members and state library associations, similar short reports are in progress now focusing on how public library technology supports education, e-government and job seeking. Please visit the study Web site for access to past reports, media materials and additional resources.

We welcome your thoughts and suggestions regarding topics of greatest interest or demand for your state. Please contact Larra Clark at lclark@ala.org or 800-545-2433 x2129 with comments or questions.

Thank you!
APPENDIX C

MAY 2009 FOLLOW–UP QUESTIONNAIRE TO CHIEF OFFICERS OF STATE LIBRARY AGENCIES

Thank you for responding to these brief follow-up questions to the December 2008 questionnaire to the Chief Officers of State Library Agencies, which is part of the Public Library Funding & Technology Access Study, www.ala.org/plinternetfunding. Please complete the questions before Friday, May 15, 2009. If you have questions about this questionnaire, please contact Larra Clark at lclark@ala.org or 800-545-2433 x2129.

1. Please select the statement that best describes the level of state support for public libraries in FY2009 (as compared with FY2008).
   ○ State funding for public libraries has increased (go to question 2)
   ○ State funding for public libraries has decreased (go to question 3)
   ○ There was no change between FY2008 and FY2009 (got to question 4)
   ○ There is no state aid to public libraries in my state (go to question 6)

2. Increased by what percentage?
   ○ 1–2%
   ○ 3–4%
   ○ 5–6%
   ○ 7–8%
   ○ 9–10%
   ○ 11% or more

3. Decreased by what percentage?
   ○ 1–2%
   ○ 3–4%
   ○ 5–6%
   ○ 7–8%
   ○ 9–10%
   ○ 11% or more

4. Have you experienced a decline in state funding for public libraries within FY2009 AFTER your budget was approved (e.g., midyear cut or loss of state revenue based on a formula)?
   ○ No, there has been no funding decline since the FY2009 budget was approved.
   ○ Yes, state funding for public libraries has declined (go to question 5)
5. Decreased by what percentage?
   - 1–2%
   - 3–4%
   - 5–6%
   - 7–8%
   - 9–10%
   - 11% or more

6. Have state library programs or staff that support public libraries been impacted (positively or negatively) in FY2009 (e.g., special funding for training librarians in job-related resources or library development position cut) as a result of changes in state funding for the state library?
   - Yes, the state library has been impacted in its ability to support public libraries within FY2009.
     (go to question 7)
   - No, there has been no change in state library programs or staffing to support public libraries.

7. Please provide brief information on the change(s) that have occurred at the state library in FY2009 that impact your ability to support public libraries.

8. Have state library programs or staff that support public libraries been impacted by changes in federal funding (LSTA) in FY2009?
   - Yes, the state library has been impacted by changes in LSTA in FY2009. (go to question 9)
   - No, there has been no change in state library programs or staffing to support public libraries.

9. Please provide brief information on the change(s) that have occurred at the state library in FY2009 that impact your ability to support public libraries.

10. Is there anything else you would like to share at this time related to public library funding or technology access in your state?

11. Please provide the following contact information:

   Name:
   State:
   Email:
   Phone Number:
APPENDIX D
Focus Group Questions/Script

Expenditures and Fiscal Planning

One of the thorny questions we’re trying to better understand has to do with the ways libraries fund technology access. We’re hoping you can help us better understand how you fund and sustain technology access. We’d like to start talking generally, then talk specifically about any impacts you may have felt as a result of the overall economic downturn.

1. How do you currently pay for the various aspects of IT? By this, I mean do you use different funding sources for different aspects of your technology—such as hardware, telecom, licensed resources and IT staff? Do you use capital funding for any technology improvements or E-rate for telecom?

   PROBE: Do you have funding for technology earmarked in your budget? For materials budget? If no, why not? If yes, what would you estimate are the percentages dedicated to each? Has there been any shifting of resources from one type of expenditure to another over the past three years?

   PROBE: We also heard from many libraries that non-tax sources like fees, fines, donations and grants provided significant funding for overall operating and specific technology-related expenditures. Do you rely on non-tax dollars to fund technology expenses? What percentage would you estimate (10, 25, 50%)?

2. What barriers, if any, do you face in raising funds to support the library and its technology access?

3. On the flip side, please describe how you’ve overcome barriers. What successes have you had in identifying, securing and sustaining local funds—either from local government or private sources—for technology?

4. What do you believe are the most critical elements of success in fundraising to support technology access?

Now, specifically related to the economic downturn over the past 12 months:

5. Has library funding in FY08 or FY09 been impacted by the downturn? How? What has the library done as a result—particularly as it relates to technology (i.e. put off replacing computers, cutting Internet services, lay off staff, cutting hours)?

Meeting Patron Technology Needs for Internet Services

Now we’d like to ask a few questions about the Internet services and training your library offers its community.

6. In the study’s survey, we ask about public Internet services that are critical to the role of the library. Providing education resources and databases for K–12 students was the top response. Can you tell us a little about how the library uses technology to support students?
7. Two other top Internet services critical to the library's role are providing services to job seekers and providing access to government information. How does your library help with job seeking and e-government?

PROBE: Are there additional things you'd like to do if you had the resources?

8. We have heard that patrons bring peripherals like USB drives, MP3s and digital cameras to the library and may want to burn CDs or use recreational gaming consoles or software. Do your libraries support (or tolerate) these patron uses? Why/why not?

PROBE: Do you have library policies that restrict any of these uses? If yes, how did these policies evolve?

PROBE: What about social networking? Online continuing education?

9. Does your library offer formal technology training for patrons? What is the most popular training for patrons that is offered? Have you added or dropped any training over the past 12 months based on patron demand?

PROBE: In the survey, libraries reported the most significant impact of technology training for patrons was improving information literacy skills. Is this the case in your libraries? What does this training entail (i.e. teaching computers users how to search online or how to evaluate online information or how to use a mouse)?

PROBE: In what other ways, formal or informal, do library staff members assist patrons in using library computers and/or Internet services?

10. Do you receive requests for Internet-based services the library doesn't provide? What are some examples? How do you manage these requests?

11. Can you give an example from your community about how library technology made a difference in someone's life?

Sustaining access

We know there are many factors involved in sustaining access to technology—including funding, staffing, adequate physical space and available bandwidth. We'd like to ask a few questions related to these infrastructural issues.

12. We know from the survey data that bandwidth at libraries is staying about the same, and many libraries are running out of space for new technology. Is this true for your library, and, if so, how are you managing these limitations?

PROBE: Is the library involved with any resource sharing or consortia around technology at the local, state or regional level? Can you give me an example of how this helps the library improve its technology access for patrons?

13. What is the minimum level of public access you need to meet patron demand—including number of computers, access speeds, services available? Can the library meet this minimum level of access at all times?

14. How do you manage and prioritize the various aspects of planning for, managing and daily troubleshooting of technology?

PROBE: Does your library have a technology plan? Who is involved in developing the plan? What about a hardware replacement plan? Are you able to stay current on these plans? Why or why not?
PROBE: Do you have dedicated IT staff at your library? Who (else) provides technical assistance to the library (e.g. outside vendor, system-level or consortia staff, state library staff, volunteers)? Is this IT support adequate to meet your library’s needs?

How do you anticipate and keep up with what’s next . . . what’s coming in technology?

15. What are the two or three biggest challenges you face in staffing technology? For instance:
   - Providing training to library patrons
   - Troubleshooting hardware/software/network issues
   - Managing the network and network equipment
   - Hiring staff with technology skills
   - Offering or scheduling staff training on new Internet content and resources
   - Marketing or outreach around technology offerings, including online databases
   - Not enough staff overall
   - Other . . .

PROBE: Have you found any strategies that have worked to improve staff skills or comfort with technology (Charlotte-Mecklenburg’s Web 2.0 training, for example)? Can you share an example?

16. Are you considering adding new applications or technology services in the coming year? If yes, what services are you looking to add? If no, why not?

Advocating Support for IT Services

From information libraries provided in the ALA/FSU Internet study, we know that many libraries have been flat funded for several years—which ultimately means less buying power for the library over time. We also know libraries continue to be asked to do more with the same flat funds. We’d like to get a better understanding of what your local “climate” is like, and what opportunities or partnerships you may have found to increase the library’s capacity.

17. Do library users, trustees and/or Friends speak out/advocate in support of funding for library IT, Internet connectivity, and other Internet-based resources and services? If yes, how? If no, why not, do you think?

18. What feedback or impressions do you get from funding decisionmakers in your community about perceptions of libraries and their technology (i.e. positive, negative, neutral, lack of awareness)?

19. Do you or others on your staff or board have memberships or represent the library in community organizations (i.e. Chamber of Commerce, Rotary or government taskforce or committee)? If no, why not? If yes, has this benefited the library?

20. What do you believe is the greatest value of the library and its technology access to your community? How do you communicate this value to decisionmakers?

PROBE: Do you collect feedback/stories about how technology-based services make a difference for people in your community? Do you quantify the value of the technology services offered by your library? If so, how?

Conclusion

21. If resources were not an issue, what is the single most important improvement that could be made in your public access computing services at this time?

22. Do you have any other thoughts/comments about your library’s needs, public libraries in general and what would be needed to meet them?
APPENDIX E
Site Visit Questions

Library staff

- What has been your most rewarding experience working with technology and your patrons? Most challenging? Has this changed over the last three years? How?
- How do you add to your technology skills and knowledge? Have you had any formal (or informal) technology training in the past 12 months? What was it? Who conducted it? How many staff were in the training? How useful was it?
- Do you use online learning or peer communities? What has been your experience?
- On a scale of one to five, please tell me how comfortable you are meeting patron technology needs (one being able to help a patron establish an email account, three being able to help download or upload files and five being able to troubleshoot hardware or use Web 2.0)? What would be most helpful in making you feel better equipped or more comfortable to help meet patron technology needs?
- How often is it the case (daily, weekly, monthly) that you have one or more computers unavailable to the public because it’s broken or the network is down? What is the process for getting it fixed and how long does that usually take? Who is responsible for getting up and running?
- If resources were not an issue, what is the single most important improvement that could be made in your public access computing services at this time?

Library Trustee

- What do you see as your role in supporting the library and its technology services? Do you believe your view is shared by others on the board? How so?
- How would you describe the library’s fiscal status—well-funded and supported, average or OK, or underfunded and undersupported? Why do you believe this is the case? How could the situation be improved?
- What has the library board done to increase support (financial or non-monetary) for the library?
- Who do you see as the library’s key partners and advocates in the community (Friends, City Council, community service organization, school administrators)? How do you and other trustees interface with these groups?
- What do you believe are the most valued technology-based services or resources the library provides your community? Do you believe the rest of the board shares your opinion? What about others in the community?
- When you became a library board member, did you receive any orientation, training or a handbook about your rights and responsibilities? Was this helpful? In what regard?
- If resources were not an issue, what is the single most important improvement that could be made in your public access computing services at this time?
Library User

- How often do you use the library’s computers? (prompts: first time, once/twice a week?)
- Do you ever have to wait for a computer? (prompts: yes/no; more/less than 15 minutes)
- What do you use them for? (prompts: schoolwork, job-related, gaming, emailing, government, etc.)
- Do you have a computer/Internet at home? Work? School? Do you use computers anywhere else (prompts: laptop in coffee shops, community center, etc.)?
- Why do you use the computers at the library? (prompts: no computer/only access point, faster, convenient?)
- Do you know if the library offers computer classes? Have you taken any at the library? If yes, which one and how satisfied were you with the class? If no, why not?
- How would you rank your computer experience at the library (prompts: excellent/good/fair/poor)? How could the library best improve its technology services (prompts: more computers, faster computers, more software, training, wireless, gaming)?
- How has access to the library’s computer and Internet services helped you?
APPENDIX F
Indiana Focus Group and Site Visit Participants

Focus Group Participants
Carmel Clay Public Library
Hancock County Public Library
Indianapolis-Marion County Public Library
Johnson County Public Library
Knox County Public Library
Oakland City-Columbia Township Public Library
Plainfield-Guilford Township Public Library
Sullivan County Public Library
Washington Carnegie Public Library

Site Visit Locations
Batesville Public Library
Gary Public Library (no trustee)
Plainfield-Guilford Township Public Library
Lake County Public Library (no trustee)
Lawrenceburg Public Library
Morgan County Public Library–Martinsville
Nappanee Public Library
St. Joseph County Public Library
Vigo County Public Library
Washington Carnegie Public Library (no trustee)
APPENDIX G

Wisconsin Focus Group and Site Visit Participants

Focus Group Participants
Colby Public Library
Crandon Public Library
Cross Plains Library
Frances L. Simek Memorial Library (Medford)
Loyal Public Library
Madison Public Library
Middleton Public Library
Plain Public Library
Prairie du Sac Library
Sun Prairie Public Library
T.B. Scott Library (Merrill)
Thorp Public Library
Tomahawk Public Library
Verona Public Library
Withee Public Library
McMillan Memorial Library (Wisconsin Rapids)

Site Visit Locations
Hedburg Public Library (Janesville)
Kaukauna Public Library
Madison Public Library–Sequoya branch
Marathon Public Library–Central Library
Menasha Public Library
Scandinavia Public Library
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addition schedules. See workstation additions
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in focus groups, 138, 146, 149, 157, 161 need for, 9–10

and trustees, 138, 150

Alabama, 78, 129 (fig D3)

Alaska, 79, 129 (fig D3)

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA), 9–10, 26, 130

Arizona, 80, 129 (fig D3)

Arkansas, 129 (fig D3)

ARRA. See American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA)

audio content and audiobooks, availability of, 5

BadgerNet (Wisconsin), 135, 151, 153

BadgerLink (Wisconsin), 135

Badges.

BadgerNet (Wisconsin), 135

BadgerNet (Wisconsin), 135, 151, 153

bandwidth. See connection speeds (bandwidth)

Batesville (Ind.) Public Library, 197

branches. See library branches; outlets (branches)

broadband connectivity. See connectivity issues

broadband initiatives from state libraries, 130–131 (fig D4–D5)

buildings. See library buildings

California, 81, 129 (fig D3)

capital expenditures, 142

carmel Clay (Ind.) Public Library, 197

CD/DVD burning services, availability of, 24

See also peripherals available to users

certification requirements

in focus groups, 137, 140, 149

survey results, 125, 131–132 (fig D6–D7), 132

Chief Officers of State Library Agencies (COSLA) Questionnaire, 124–139

findings, 126–133

methodology, 125–126

text of questionnaire, 184–189

text of questionnaire follow-up, 190–191

Children's Internet Protection Act (CIPA), 145, 179

classes offered to users. See technology training classes

Colby (Wisc.) Public Library, 198

collaborations and partnerships, 149

See also schools, collaboration with collections, 179

Colorado, 82

community groups, outreach to, 146, 149, 154–155, 157

See also partnerships and collaborations

community information. See services available to users

computer classes. See technology training classes

computer hardware, 179

See also hardware/software expenditures; technology-related expenditures

computer skills. See technology training classes

computer software, 179

See also hardware/software expenditures

computers, library-owned. See workstations

computers, users not owning. See users without home Internet access

Connecticut, 83, 129 (fig D3), 131

connection speeds (bandwidth)

adequacy of, 3, 7 (fig A3), 9–10, 44 (fig C20)

cost of upgrading, 23

in focus groups, 137, 144–145, 149, 156, 160

libraries as provider of faster connections, 4

maximum speeds, by metropolitan status and poverty level, 23, 42 (fig C17)

possibility of increasing adequacy of, 23, 25, 44 (fig C21)

trends, 7 (fig A3)

and wireless and workstation sharing access, 23, 43 (fig C19)

connectivity issues, 25, 42–45 (fig C17–C21), 141–142

See also connection speeds (bandwidth)

consortia and delivery of services, 10

cost cooperative relationships with schools, 143

COSLA. See Chief Officers of State Library Agencies (COSLA) questionnaire

Craiglist, 158

Crandon (Wisc.) Public Library, 198

cross Plains (Wisc.) Library, 198

credit card processing, 158

Cross Plains (Wisc.) Library, 198

D

Delaware, 84, 129 (fig D3)

Department of Motor Vehicles web sites, 143, 147, 159

digital camera connections, 24

See also peripherals available to users
digital photography. See technology training classes
digital reference services, 5, 24, 179

See also services available to users
digitized special collections, availability of, 5

See also services available to users
disasters and e-government applications, 147, 149
distance education, services supporting, 137, 143, 156

See also services available to users

District of Columbia, 85
donations for technology-related expenditures, 19

See also funding sources
downtime (length of time to get computers back in service), 22, 39 (fig C14)

digitization classes

distance education, services supporting, 137, 143, 156

distance education services supporting; K-12 education, services supporting; services available to users
e-government services, 179

in focus groups, 143, 158

services offered, by metropolitan status and poverty, 27, 52 (fig C32)

staff support for, 24

and state libraries, 131–132 (fig D6–D7)

statewide Web portal for, 10

trends, 6
e-government services. See digital reference services
e-mail, 136, 143, 147, 158, 159

E-rate discounts, 179

applications for, 53 (fig C33)

in focus groups, 142–143, 145

libraries having, 53 (fig C34)

goals for not applying for, 54 (fig C35)

expenditures, type of. See capital...
A

F
facilities. See library buildings
family assistance, applications for, 143
federal funding, 16, 179
See also funding sources
fees and fines. See funding sources
filtering requirements. See Children’s Internet Protection Act (CIPA)
finances and fees. See funding sources
fiscal year, 179
flash drives. See peripherals available to users
Florida, 86, 129 (fig D3)
focus groups
Indiana, 140–150
methodology, 138–139
participants, 197–198
summary of findings, 135–139
text of questions/script, 192–194
Wisconsin, 151–162
foundation grants, changes in, 15
funding sources, 135, 141, 146–147, 153–155, 158
funding patterns, 6–7, 135–136
Friends groups, 149
frequency of library use in focus groups, 136
funding sources, technology-related expenditures, 60 (fig C42)
poverty levels, 64–67 (fig C49–C54)
rural libraries, 61 (fig C43–C44)
suburban libraries, 62 (fig C45–C46)
urban libraries, 63 (fig C47–C48)
fundraising. See funding sources
G
Gaming (recreational gaming), 181
Gaming consoles, availability of, 24
See also peripherals available to users
Gary (Ind.) Public Library (no trustee), 197
Georgia, 87, 127, 129 (fig D3)
government as funding source, 15
See also federal funding; funding sources; local/county funding sources; state funding
government information. See technology training classes
grant funding
changes in, 19, 19 (fig B11)
in focus groups, 142, 147
See also funding sources
H
Hancock County (Ind.) Public Library, 197
hardware/software expenditures, 19
See also technology-related expenditures
Hawaii, 88, 127, 129 (fig D3)
health insurance for staff, 137–138, 145, 154
Hedburg Public Library (Janesville, Wisc.), 198
high-poverty-level systems
changes in operating budget, 11
operating expenditures, percentage change, operating expenditures, 60 (fig C42)
poverty levels, 64–67 (fig C49–C54)
rural libraries, 61 (fig C43–C44)
suburban libraries, 62 (fig C45–C46)
urban libraries, 63 (fig C47–C48)
Huntington County (Ind.) Public Library, 197
See also technology-related expenditures
I
Idaho, 129 (fig D3)
Illinois, 89, 129 (fig D3)
immigrants, services to, 24
See also services available to users
Indiana
focus group and site visit participants, 197
focus group summary, 138, 140–146
funding sources in, 135
overview, 140–142
site visit summary, 146–150
state funding, 129 (fig D3)
state summary, 90
Indianapolis-Marion County (Ind.) Public Library, 197
information technology budget, 180
See also technology-related expenditures
information technology support. See IT support
information technology training, 180
See also technology training classes
infrastructure
challenges, 22
planning for enhancements of, 24
stresses on, 25–26
See also connection speeds (bandwidth); library buildings; space limitations
INPubLibraries, 144
INSPIRE virtual library (Indiana), 135, 144
Internal Revenue Service/tax forms, 159
Internet, use of. See technology training classes
Internet access
factors preventing adequate service, 25, 50 (fig C30)
from homes, 4, 135, 147–148, 154, 155
by metropolitan status and poverty level, 32 (fig C4)
See also workstations
Internet access, free
libraries as sole provider of, 3, 9, 21
by metropolitan status and poverty level, 33 (fig C5)
wireless access, 4
Internet connections. See connection speeds (bandwidth)
Internet workstations. See workstations
Internet workstations, 144
See also workstations
investments, services supporting. See services available to users; technology training classes
Iowa, 91, 129 (fig D3)
IT support
availability of, 22, 25, 27
in focus groups, 137, 145, 146, 148, 156–157
FTE equivalents for, 41 (fig C16)
length of time to get computers back in service, 39 (fig C14)
by metropolitan status and poverty level, 39–41 (fig C14–C16)
Sources of, 25, 40 (fig C15)
trends, 8
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job seekers, support for
in focus groups, 143, 147, 155, 158, 159
increased need for, 5, 135, 136
See also technology training classes

Johnson County (Ind.) Public Library, 197

K

K-12 education, services supporting, 143
See also homework help and homework resources
Kansas, 92, 129 (fig D3)
Kaukauna (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
kbps, 180
Kentucky, 93, 129 (fig D3)
Knox County (Ind.) Public Library, 197

L

Lake County (Ind.) Public Library, 197
laptops, library-owned. See workstations
Lawrenceburg (Ind.) Public Library, 197
LearningExpress, 144, 155
length of time to get computers back in service, 22, 39 (fig C14)
libraries, types of. See poverty levels; rural libraries; urban libraries; specific issues/features, e.g., IT support
library boards, 149, 151, 157
See also trustees
library branches, 180, 181
See also outlets (branches)
library buildings, 8, 9, 22, 158
Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA) state programs revenue, 180
library systems, 180
in survey methodology, 29
system-level data, 53–76 (fig C33–C67)
library use, frequency of, 6
licensed databases, 5, 24, 180
See also services available to users; technology training classes
life maintenance tasks, 147, 159
See also services available to users
local/county funding sources, 15–16, 19, 127 (fig D2), 180
See also funding sources
Louisiana, 94, 127, 129 (fig D3)
low-income people, services for. See high-poverty-level systems
low-poverty library systems
operating expenditures, 64 (fig C49–C50)
operating expenditures, percentage changes in, 14 (fig B4)
technology-related operating expenditures, 75 (fig C65)
See also poverty levels
Loyal (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
LSTA. See: Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA) state programs revenue

M

Madison (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
Maine, 95, 129 (fig D3)
maintenance and support issues. See technology-related expenditures
Marathon (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
marketing. See advocacy
Maryland, 96, 129 (fig D3)
Massachusetts, 97, 129 (fig D3)
mbps, 180
McMillan Memorial Library (Wisconsin Rapids, Wisc.), 198
medical information. See technology training classes
medium-poverty-level systems
operating expenditures, 65 (fig C51–C52)
operating expenditures, percentage changes in, 14 (fig B5)
technology-related operating expenditures, 75 (fig C66)
See also poverty levels
Menasha (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
metropolitan status. See rural libraries; suburban libraries; urban libraries; specific issues/features, e.g., IT support
Michigan, 129 (fig D3)
Middleton (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
Minnesota, 98, 129 (fig D3)
Mississippi, 99, 129 (fig D3)
Missouri, 100, 129 (fig D3)
Montana, 101, 129 (fig D3)
Morgan County Public Library (Martinsville, Ind.), 197
multimedia Web sites, bandwidth sufficient for, 137

N

Nappanee (Ind.) Public Library, 197
Nebraska, 129 (fig D3)
networked-based services
in 2008-2009 survey, 27
broadband initiatives from state libraries, 130–131 (fig D4–D5)
Nevada, 102, 127, 129 (fig D3)
New Hampshire, 103, 129 (fig D3)
New Jersey, 104, 128, 129 (fig D3)
New Mexico, 105, 128, 129 (fig D3)
New York, 106, 128, 129 (fig D3), 131
non-tax sources of funding. See soft funding
North Carolina, 107, 128, 129 (fig D3)
North Dakota, 108, 129 (fig D3)

O

Oakland City-Columbia Township (Ind.) Public Library, 197
Ohio, 109, 129 (fig D3)
Ohio, 129 (fig D3)
“on behalf of” support for technology costs, 180
by metropolitan status and poverty level, 67–69 (fig C55–C58)
rural libraries, 69 (fig C58)
suburban libraries, 68 (fig C57)
trends, 7, 16–17 (fig B8)
urban libraries, 68 (fig C56)
use of, 12
one-on-one technology assistance, 143, 144, 155, 159
online banking, 158
online instruction, availability of, 5
See also services available to users
online job searching classes, 143
OPACs (Online Public Access Catalogs), 180
See also technology training classes
operating expenditures, 58–67 (fig C40–C54), 181
changes in, 57 (fig C38–C39), 60 (fig C42), 126
high-poverty libraries, 66 (fig C53–C54)
low-poverty libraries, 64 (fig C49–C50)
medium-poverty libraries, 65 (fig C51–C52)
percentage changes in, 12 (fig B1), 13
(suburban libraries, 62 (fig C45–C46)
trends, 3, 6–7
by type and funding source, 59 (fig C40–C41)
urban libraries, 63 (fig C47–C48)
volatility of revenue, 11
See also technology-related expenditures
operating revenue, total, 182
Oregon, 111, 129 (fig D3)
“other” expenditures, 18, 181
outlets (branches)
in survey methodology, 28, 29
survey results, by metropolitan status and poverty level, 31–52 (fig C1–C32)
outreach, 146, 149, 154–155, 157
partnerships and collaborations, 149
patron technology needs in focus groups, 136, 143, 147–148, 155, 158–159
Pennsylvania, 112, 129 (fig D3), 132
periodicals available to users, 23, 24, 25, 50 (fig C29), 156, 160
Plain (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
Plainfield-Guilford Township (Ind.) Public Library, 197
planning and expenditures, 142–143, 146–147, 153–155, 158
planning for new services, 22
poverty levels, 23–24, 49–51 (fig C31)
project Play (Wisconsin), 137
Prairie du Sac (Wisc.) Library, 197
Public Library Funding and Technology Access Study (PLFTAS)
data analysis, 29–30
methodology, 28–29
survey objectives, 27–28
text of 2008 questionnaire, 164–183
Public Library Internet Consortium (PLIC)
(Indiana), 135
quality of public access, 23
recession, effect of, 9–10, 126–127, 159
repair times (length of time to get computers back in service), 39 (fig C14)
replacement of workstations. See workstation replacements
résumé-writing assistance, 143, 155
See also job seekers, support for revenue sources. See funding sources
role of the library, services supporting, 23–24, 51 (fig C31)
rural libraries
challenges, 25
“on behalf of” support, 69 (fig C58)
operating expenditures, 61 (fig C43–C44)
technology-related operating expenditures, 73 (fig C62)
See also specific services, e.g., IT support
safe online practice. See technology training classes
salaries
changes in percent of budget, 14
as technology-related expenditures, 19
sales tax revenues, reductions in, 126–127
Scandinavia (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
scanners, requests for, 136, 158, 159
schools, collaboration with, 143–144, 156, 161
See also education, services supporting self-check equipment, 158
seniors, assistance to, 155
services available to users, 23–24, 49–51 (fig C28–C30)
See also technology services not provided; specific services, e.g., job seekers, services to
single outlet system or library system headquarters, 181
site visits
methodology, 138–139
participants, 197–198
summary of findings, 135–139
text of questions, 195–196
social networking, 136, 156, 158, 159
soft funding, 16
software expenditures. See hardware/software expenditures; technology-related expenditures
software training. See technology training classes
South Carolina, 129 (fig D3)
South Dakota, 114, 129 (fig D3)
space limitations, 8
See also library buildings
sponsorships, 154
St. Joseph County (Ind.) Public Library, 197
staff and staffing
challenges, 22
in focus groups, 137–138, 145, 148, 155, 156–157, 160–161
training, 148–149, 160–161
See also IT support
state funding, 182
COSLA survey, 126–128
debates in, 129 (fig D3)
trends, 7
See also funding sources
state libraries, e-government services and,
131–132 (fig D6–D7)
See also Chief Officers of State Library Agencies (COSLA) questionnaire
state-level data on public library Internet connectivity and use, 71–123
See also names of specific states, e.g., California
suburban libraries
“on behalf of” support, 68 (fig C57)
operating expenditures, 62 (fig C45–C46)
technology-related operating expenditures, 74 (fig C63)
See also specific services, e.g., IT support
Sullivan County (Ind.) Library, 197
Sun Prairie (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
survey response rate, 31 (fig C1)
systems. See library systems
T
T.B. Scott Library (Merrill, Wisc.), 198
TEACH (Technology for Educational Achievement), 153
technology budgets, actual and anticipated changes in, 69–70 (fig C59–C60)
technology services not provided, 25–26, 50 (fig C30)
technology training classes, 179
availability of, 5, 23, 47 (fig C26)
in focus groups, 135, 144, 159
types of, 48 (fig C27)
technology-related expenditures, 182
in focus groups, 147
high-poverty libraries, 76 (fig C67)
low-poverty libraries, 75 (fig C65)
matrix of changes, 18 (fig B10)
medium-poverty libraries, 75 (fig C66)
percentage change, by type and funding source, 19 (fig B11)
percentage changes, by metropolitan status and poverty, 17 (fig B9)
poverty level, 75–76 (fig C65–C67)
quality of data, 11
rural libraries, 69 (fig C58), 73 (fig C62)
suburban libraries, 68 (fig C57), 74 (fig C63)
trends, 6–7
by type and funding source, 71–73 (fig C61)
urban libraries, 68 (fig C56), 74 (fig C64)
volatility of revenue, 12
telecommunications, 182
See also technology-related expenditures
Tennessee, 115, 129 (fig D3), 132
test preparation web sites, 144, 155
Texas, 116, 129 (fig D3)
Thorp (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
time limits on workstation use
in focus groups, 136, 148
libraries imposing limits, 45 (fig C22)
management of limits, 47 (fig 25)
session limits per day, 46 (fig C24)
time limits per day, 46 (C23)
trends, 8, 23
Tomahawk (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
training. See technology training classes
typical week, 182
unemployment paperwork, 136, 143, 147, 155, 158
upgrades and maintenance. See workstation replacements
urban libraries
“on behalf of” support for technology costs, 68 (fig C56)
operating expenditures, 63 (fig C47–C48)
technology-related operating expenditures, 74 (fig C64)
See also specific services, e.g., IT support
storage devices, support for, 24, 160
See also peripherals available to users
user training. See technology training classes
users, frequency of use by, 6, 147, 159
users without home Internet access, 135, 147–148, 155, 159
Utah, 117, 129 (fig D3)
V
vendors, expenditures for, 19, 181
See also technology-related expenditures
Vermont, 118, 129 (fig D3)
Verona (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
video conferencing, 5, 137
See also services available to users
video content, availability of, 5
See also services available to users
Virginia, 119, 129 (fig D3)
virtual reference services. See digital reference services
W
wait times for computers, 147, 159
Washington, 120, 129 (fig D3)
Washington (Ind.) Carnegie Public Library, 197
Web 2.0. See technology training classes
West Virginia, 121, 129 (fig D3)
wild access, 182
availability of, 4 (fig A1), 21
availability of/plans to add, 25, 43 (fig C18)
bandwidth shared with workstations, 23, 43 (fig C19)
in focus groups, 145
trends, 7 (fig A3)
wireless access, free. See: Internet access, free
Wisconsin
case study, 151–162
focus group and site visit participants, 198
focus group summary, 138, 153–158
funding sources, 136
overview, 151–153
site visit summary, 158–162
state funding, 129 (fig D3)
state summary, 122
Withee (Wisc.) Public Library, 198
word processing software, 144, 159
workstation additions
factors influencing, 25, 37 (fig C11)
schedules for, 24, 25, 35 (fig C9), 36 (fig 10)
workstation downtime (length of time to get computers back in service), 22, 39 (fig C14)
workstation replacements
approaches to, 38 (fig C13)
factors influencing, 38 (fig C12)
in focus groups, 136–137, 144, 148, 156, 159–160
planning for, 24
replacement or addition schedule, 25, 36 (fig C10)
trends, 8
workstations, 182
adequacy of, 8, 23, 34 (fig C8)
average age of, 34 (fig C7)
average numbers available, 21
bandwidth shared with wireless, 23, 43 (fig C19)
by metropolitan status and poverty level, 33 (fig C6)
time limits on patron use, 23, 45–47 (fig C22–C25)
Wyoming, 123, 129 (fig D3)