from the srrt coordinator:

From the Coordinator

Greetings. If you’ve been following the discussions on the SRRT and ALACOUN listservs, you’re probably well aware of what SRRT Action Council members have been discussing this Fall. If not, read on.

In September, I received a letter from ALA President Ann Symons, writing on behalf of ALA Executive Board. Once again, SRRT is being accused of speaking on behalf of the entire Association, and the Executive Board is asking us not to send our resolutions or letters outside of the Association. Symons letter is reprinted, with permission, in this issue; along with SRRT Action Council’s response. This is an extremely important issue, with huge free speech implications, and it is an ongoing topic of discussion as this issue goes to press (mid-October). I expect this to be a priority agenda item for discussion at Midwinter.

Speaking of Midwinter, you will receive this issue before the conference, so please let me know if there are agenda items for Action Council I and II. As always, we will have the All Task Force meeting on Saturday, January 30, from 8:00-9:30am, followed by Action Council I, from 9:30-11:30am. (Note: Action Council I usually runs from 9:30-11, but in response to popular demand, we are extending Action Council I until 11:30. The time slot in the program will say 9:30-12:30, but I hope to end the meeting at 11:30 to avoid conflicts.) Action Council II will be on Monday, February, from 2:00-4:30pm—this time slot has also been extended.

Another item on our agenda will be the new Round Table Councilor position. Last year, ALA members voted to give round tables council representation. At Annual, the powers-that-be decided that these round table councilors would be elected during the Spring 1999 ALA election process, to begin serving right after ALA Annual in 1999. In order to avoid having all the round table councilors change terms simultaneously, it was decided to stagger the terms at the beginning. The initial terms of the round table councilors were determined by lot, and SRRT drew a one-year term. So, the first councilor we elect will serve for one-year, and then in the year 2000, we’ll elect a SRRT councilor to serve for the three-year term. We will be discussing the job duties for this position and looking for candidates to run for election at Midwinter.

Lastly, if you’ve been looking for a way to get involved with SRRT, we have an immediate opportunity. Ron Landskroner, our Membership Coordinator, has resigned, and we need a few volunteers to help contact new SRRT members to welcome them to SRRT. If you are interested, please let me know—and please join me in thanking Ron for his efforts!

Wendy Thomas, SRRT Coordinator
wendy@radcliffe.edu; 617/495-8549
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WHAT WILL THE MAI DO TO LIBRARIES?

The Canadian Library Association, British Columbia Library Association and SRRT have recently passed resolutions against the adoption of the MAI. SRRT has created a document, based on an original by the Canadian Library Association, that spells out the effects the MAI could have on libraries. In the interests of space, the full document is available, for reading and printing, on the SRRT web site document archive. Please read it and distribute it widely:

http://www.jessamyn.com/srrt/docs

WHAT IS THE MAI?
The MAI, or Multilateral Agreement on Investment, is a treaty being negotiated by Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries to facilitate the flow of capital across borders and around the world. Paving the way for the “global economy,” the MAI proposes to create a “level playing field” by drawing up investment guidelines and by standardizing the treatment of foreign investment globally. This treaty would be devastating for the citizens of the signatory countries. All sectors of society would be affected as capital finds itself free to flow everywhere, even into areas that are now considered public.

Often referred to as “NAFTA on steroids,” the MAI is a similar type of treaty, but one that goes well beyond NAFTA in granting transnational corporations incredible powers. Most notably it would prevent governments from creating new laws in conflict with the articles of the MAI and enable corporations to take governments to court for trying to enforce existing laws.

MEDIA OWNERSHIP

The Project on Media Ownership (PROMO), a non-profit research group affiliated with New York University, is looking for experienced researchers around the world, to help us build up and maintain a comprehensive database concerning media ownership worldwide. Our aim is to be able to provide detailed and accurate information as to the ever-shifting ownership of all the major media—TV, radio, newspapers, magazines, book publishing, movies, music, on-line services—on all seven continents.

PROMO is looking to provide all information that may be of use to anyone concerned with or involved in the main media industries worldwide. We are therefore looking for researchers capable of tracking who owns what, the pertinent numbers, the names of the directors, managers and major shareholders, and so on. Such expert and demanding labor would, of course, be paid. Anyone interested in taking part should contact Janine Jaquet, PROMO’s Research Director, at 212-678-4534, or at janine.jaquet@nyu.edu.

We want also to disseminate, throughout libraries and schools, simpler materials illustrative of who owns what throughout the major media: brochures, booklets, fold-outs, etc. Anyone interested in this educational campaign should also contact Ms. Jaquet.

—Mark Crispin Miller

IFLA Social Responsibilities Discussion Group

The IFLA Social Responsibilities Discussion Group had its first official meeting on Sunday, 16 August, 8:30-10:20 AM, to discuss the growing gap between the information rich and the information poor both between countries and within countries. The large turnout from a diverse number of countries showed significant interest in this topic and the broad purposes of the Group. Al Kagan noted the recent American Library Association meeting where the ALA Social Responsibilities Round Table organized a program on “Social Responsibility Around the World” with speakers from progressive library organizations in Austria, Germany, South Africa, Sweden and the United Kingdom. These groups are forming an international network of progressive library organizations. For information on these organizations and further information, see the website at http://www.germany.net/teilnehmer/100.115158/adresse.htm.

Six discussion papers were prepared for this meeting but unfortunately only one of the authors was able to be present. For this reason, only the abstracts were read and a wide-ranging discussion took place. The sub-topics of the papers under the general theme of the information gap were: rural libraries in India (Kaplan Dasgupta, India), literacy in libraries (Josephine Andersen, South Africa), human resource development (Dennis Ocholla, South Africa), fees for library services (Kristine Abelsnes, Norway), North-South library cooperation (Ismail Abdullahi, US), and the electronic information gap (Alfred Kagan, US). Several speakers from the floor stressed the need for action, including the need to influence IFLA policies and programs. It was noted that several IFLA sections are addressing related themes, and that the Discussion Group might become a focal point for collecting information on what the various bodies are doing and helping to coordinate activities.

The Discussion Group has established a web page on IFLANET, see http://www.nlc-bnc.ca/ifla/VII/dg/srdg/index.htm

Alfred Kagan, IFLA SRDG Convener

akagan@uiuc.edu
Cease and Desist?

by Rory Litwin

As a relatively new member of SRRT who is watching its activities and relationship with the larger body via SRRTAC-L, I am concerned about the hostility SRRT has been experiencing recently. Elsewhere in this issue there is coverage of the controversy over SRRT’s letters to outside organizations sent on its own stationery. SRRT’s response (see next page) to a letter from Ann Symons (this page) reminding us on behalf of council that we are not to “speak for the association” seemed reasonable and unproblematic to SRRT members who discussed it in advance, but it received a generally hostile response from non-SRRT council members. What is going on? It seems to be an opportune time to take stock of our situation within the ALA and to work out strategies for the future. I’ll take a step toward that here, with a description of some recent history and a summary of some strategic projects that have been started.

In the 1994 ALA elections, members voted to raise the quorum at membership meetings to a number that practically guarantees that the will not have an official voice. SRRT members voiced opposition to the change and felt the measure was given a biased presentation by a council that had a vested interest in its outcome, and the vote would never have gone the way it did if members knew what the measure was really about. (There has been conflict and controversy surrounding SRRT going back to its inception, but I’m not qualified to talk about it yet, nor do I have the space, so I’m beginning with the 1994 vote. An article in the forthcoming issue of Progressive Librarian ( #15) gives some of the historical background to these issues.)

Since 1994, the vote on the membership issue and SRRT members’ agitation for democracy in ALA have been milked by Councilors and members of the library press for their own public relations purposes, at times in clear opposition to the goals of SRRT. As Al Kagan points out in his letter to American Libraries (Sept. 1998, p. 34), the previous issue’s coverage of the Membership Meeting (“Membership Still Chatting”, AL, Aug. p.98) calls the opposition to the quorum change only “a handful of ALA members,” and brings out the suggestion that we are “radicals bent on bogging down the association over social issues.” Kagan mentions in passing that SRRT is one of the Association’s largest Round Tables, a fact that would seem surprising to people whose only knowledge of us is gleaned from the library press and the member-forum discussion list, which at times seems to be a hangout for our angriest antagonists.

SRRT members have had other ideas about what is bogging down ALA council. In his August 1st editorial in Library Journal, John Berry called the council’s leadership of ALA into question and explained its inaction on major issues as a consequence of its makeup: “In truth, Council can’t act because its membership is dominated by administrators, the...continued on next page

August 27, 1998
Dear Wendy:

At the close of Annual Conference Councilor Mark Rosenzweig posted to the Council listserv the resolutions passed by the SRRT Action Council at the 1998 Annual Conference. The resolutions were also transmitted in letters to me and to Executive Director William Gordon by SRRT Action Council Secretary Elaine Harger.

I have been asked by the ALA Executive Board to express our concern to SRRT. In reviewing the resolutions, the Board noted that they had been sent to a number of government officials and outside agencies. The Board is quite concerned that this action was taken by SRRT, since the resolutions imply that SRRT is speaking for the American Library Association on these matters.

The ALA Executive Board is charged to act on behalf of Council between meetings, particularly on matters of ALA policy. Council expects us to take that responsibility quite seriously and we do. Nowhere in the ALA Constitution, Bylaws or Policy Manual does it say that roundtables may speak externally for the Association. The ALA Bylaws expressly say that “... [no] round table [shall] commit the Association by any declaration of policy,” i.e., round tables may not speak on behalf of the Association, whether in accordance with ALA policy or not. In the judgment of the Board, SRRT’s sending these resolutions to outside agencies or government officials has the effect of speaking on behalf of the 58,000 members of ALA.

The Executive Board is distressed that it is necessary to remind SRRT again that it may not speak on behalf of the Association, nor may it send out notices, letters, or resolutions that appear to be policy statements or positions of ALA. The Board has asked me to express in the strongest possible terms its dismay at SRRT’s recent action and to state that the Board expects SRRT to comply with Association policy. It is not our intention to stifle SRRT’s ability to speak on issues of concern to its members. It is, however, our intention to insure that all ALA roundtables adhere to ALA policies.

SRRT is always welcome to bring its resolutions to ALA Council. If you and members of the SRRT Action Council would like to meet with the Board at Midwinter to talk about the seriousness of these issues or if you have any questions, please let me know.

Sincerely,
Ann K. Symons
President, ALA
majority of whom see librarianship as a management problem.” They delegate responsibility for resolving problems to groups composed of “experts” on the question, but tend to be imbalanced in their composition. They are predisposed to outcomes that the force of gravity has already presented to us in the form of the problems: outsourcing, privatization, disappearance of the concept of the library in library education, etc.)

Reaction to Berry’s editorial on the Council’s discussion list was predictably sharp, and scapegoated a “minority (that) drives the issues” as the cause of Council’s foundering. (Kent Oliver’s phrase.) It is as easy to say that the opposite is true, that a stubborn opposition to any social elements in the agenda is keeping Council from part of its appropriate business, at the cost of much wasted time. But this perspective is nearly undetectable in the library press. SRRT is sometimes even portrayed in a false light to support the idea that it is a handful of radicals obstructing the Council. A good example is American Libraries misquotation of Mark Rosenzweig’s comments at a Council discussion of CD#55, the Resolution on Socially Responsible Investing. What he stated was that the ALA should use its money “not just as the lifeblood of the organization, but for social betterment.” AL’s quotation of him left out the word “just,” creating the false impression that the resolution’s backers were interested in throwing away ALA’s investments (that its money not be used as the lifeblood of the organization at all). Such false representations could potentially have a real effect on the outcomes of Council’s business.

The question arises, to what extent are the SRRT contingent and its sympathizers a minority on Council? And, what is the proportion on Council that really does resent or fear us, and to what extent are they representative of the membership in their views? These are difficult questions, and a partial answer could be got by a detailed analysis of Councilors’ voting records over the years. SRRT-inspired resolutions have fared well historically, and from a historical perspective few would deny SRRT’s ultimate value to the organization. In relation to this history, the current inquisition of SRRT in paranoid reaction to Action Council’s distribution of its own resolutions to outside groups seems almost incredible.

WHAT YOU CAN DO
Whatever is to account for this chill, SRRT needs to organize a strategic response. There have been recent steps in that direction, beginning with a meeting at the 1998 summer conference to form a Progressive Caucus in ALA. The intention there is to organize an effort to move the ALA in a more progressive direction, as well as to re-democratize its structure. Central to this process will be efforts to elect sympathetic individuals to ALA Council and other offices. Some specific ideas for doing this were discussed but plans have yet to be ironed out. This meeting was called by Mark Rosenzweig, and was reported in Library Journal, June 29, 1998. You can contact Mark Rosenzweig at iskra@earthlink.net if you wish to be involved in this effort.

Within SRRT, at the annual meeting it was decided to form an ad hoc committee to investigate the possible formation of a permanent Public Relations Committee. The ad hoc committee has yet to be formed, and the need is obviously pressing. Fred Stoss, former coordinator of the Task Force on the Environment, has agreed to form this committee and is actively seeking your participation, comments, and suggestions. If you are interested in helping to investigate the feasibility and activities of a PR committee

September 18, 1998

Ann Symons, President
American Library Association

Dear Ann:
On behalf of SRRT Action Council, I am writing in response to your letter of 27 August 1998 expressing concerns about the distribution of SRRT’s resolutions.

Let me begin by stressing that we understand the Executive Board’s concern about round tables not speaking on behalf of the entire Association. We are well aware of the ALA Constitution’s statement on round tables not committing the Association by any declaration of policy.

SRRT does not pretend, in any way, to speak for the Association as a whole. We have been scrupulous in our efforts to ensure that our resolutions and letters do not suggest this. In response to your letter, Elaine Hargen, SRRT Secretary, reviewed the resolutions passed by SRRT over the last several years, and she reports that the text used in the cover letters accompanying our resolutions clearly states that the resolutions are from the Social Responsibilities Round Table. In addition, our cover letters are on SRRT letterhead, which indicates that SRRT is a part of ALA.

This issue has come up before, but we assumed it had been resolved by our efforts to use proper language in our resolutions and letters. SRRT Action Council members feel very strongly that we are operating within the policy guidelines for ALA round tables.

SRRT Action Council members feel we must reserve the right to express our views, to make them known to the Association, and to communicate them to parties outside the Association as necessary—always, of course, clearly stating that we are speaking on behalf of SRRT.

Sincerely,
Wendy Thomas
SRRT Coordinator

PAGE FOUR
To Accredit, or Not To Accredit?

A couple of meetings ago, SRRT had a discussion about ALA's policies on library education. I volunteered to keep track of the discussion. Under Background Material ... it states that interested groups will be invited to contribute papers and the deadline is March 31st. So SRRT can submit something. Anyone who is interested in this should just e-mail me and let me know.

—Al Kagan <akagan@uiuc.edu>

The following is excerpted from a post to ALA Council mail list and the ALA Members Forum mail list by Ken Haycock:

As chair of the steering committee and a councilor-at-large I am anxious that Council and the membership be as informed as possible on the planning process for the Education Summit, renamed the Congress on Professional Education. A four page summary of committee deliberations to date follows. Please accept this in the context of a project-in-progress and of a committee that sees its role as planning an open and transparent process, not a closed search for answers. I welcome discussion on this listserv and responding to questions or concerns.

—Ken

The Steering Committee on the Education Summit Summary of Work to Date

Context

The Steering Committee on the Education Summit was established by the ALA Executive Board to examine the initial preparation of professional librarians as a first step in studying broader issues of education and training for librarians and other library workers. In this context there are two ALA-approved routes to professional preparation: the 56 ALA accredited graduate programs in North American and the approximately 250 graduate programs accredited by the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education [NCATE] in consultation with ALA, for school librarians who choose that route. ALA Council has also voiced its concerns about the preparation of librarians and has asked for reports from the chairs of the committees on Accreditation and on Education at its January, 1999 midwinter meeting.

Clarification of Responsibilities and Membership

There is some confusion of the relative roles of Council, the Executive Board, the Committee on Accreditation, the Committee on Education and the Steering Committee. The Executive Board established the Steering Committee; the Steering Committee will forward its recommendations to the Executive Board; a representative of the Executive Board sits on the Steering Committee. Council has an obvious and legitimate role in the process as Council approved the standards for accreditation [and some members are now raising questions about the appropriateness of those standards]; several members of Council are members of the Steering Committee. The implementation of the standards falls to the graduate LIS programs; the Association for Library and Information Science Education has a representative on the Steering Committee. The assessment of that implementation, that is, the extent to which a programs meets the Council-approved standards, falls to the quasi-autonomous function of the Committee on Accreditation; the chair of the Committee on Accreditation sits on the Steering Committee. As there are broader issues of education and training, enumerated below, the chair of the Committee on Education also sits on the Steering Committee. As the divisions have a major stake in the accrediting process there is a representative of the divisional leadership on the Steering Committee. A number of specialist groups rely on the ALA accrediting process to ensure appropriate qualifications for their members; examples include the American Association of Law Librarians, the Association of Research Libraries, the Medical Library Association and the Special Libraries Association; each of these groups has a representative on the Steering Committee. In addition, the Executive Board
named members with diverse backgrounds and experiences to a total of 18 members.

**Issues**

The “problems” related to graduate education of professional librarians, whether real or imagined, pervade our professional and academic discourse and literatures. These were initially identified by Council and others as the growing elimination of the “L” word [Library] from the names of programs of graduate education, the seeming lack of attention to core competencies [cataloguing was often mentioned], and the national shortage of professionals to work with particular groups [specifically young people in public libraries and disadvantaged populations] and particular environments [such as schools].

These problems might more appropriately be labeled issues; the tension around these issues is increasing - and is not necessarily “creative” in terms of seeking resolution.

Among the issues related to accreditation and raised by the Steering Committee are recruitment, staffing levels, learning to learn by all library workers, continuing education, certification and licensing, and foreign credentials. The Steering Committee will focus on the first professional degree in the broader context of these related issues.

**Content and Process**

The Steering Committee formed four working groups, three of which will deal with “content” issues and one with “process” issues. The committees and members are listed below.

The three content areas are:
- **[1] Issues in the Higher Education**— Joe Mika, chair; Jim Baughman; Jonathan Franklin; Lisa Biblo; Ann O’Neill, staff liaison - issues of the context for graduate professional program.
- **[2] Issues in Library and Information Studies Education** [note: this is the term used on the Council-approved standards]— Bob Martin, chair; Fred Roper; Shirley Fitzgibbons; Mary Dempsey; Mary Ghikas, staff liaison - issues related to the graduate programs themselves.
- **[3] Issues on The Profession**— Ed Erazo, chair; Jennifer Gallant; Suzi Hayes; Connie Van Fleet; Lorelle Swader, staff liaison. - issues emanating from the profession(s).

At this juncture, the content groups will set context, develop means of examining content in that area, commission background papers, determine speakers and help ensure people are informed before they get to the summit.

**Background Material**

The content groups will consult with individuals and groups regarding selected background papers but all stakeholders will be invited to submit papers on any or all of the areas. These papers will be posted to a specific web site and open for comment. Invited papers would be reviewed and commented upon. Interested groups (e.g., divisions, roundtables, associations, councilors, members, etc.) will be invited to contribute papers (probable deadline: March 31).

**The Summit Planning/Process working group**— Sharon Hogan; Maureen Sullivan; Dawn Vaughn, Judy Card.

The Committee renamed the Education Summit to the Congress on Professional Education. These preliminary outcomes were articulated for the Congress: · Philosophy, principles, ethics of the field [term used in the Standards]: what is “the field” and is it singular or plural? · Understanding of accreditation: role, function and purpose—what does ALA accredit? One profession or many? · Discussion and possible consensus on core values · Discussion and possible consensus on core competencies · Understanding of what is happening in LIS education · Clarification of roles — individual, association, employer, educator · Development of relationship of professional education to training and Is there program-specific strategy for “listening” to the field - i.e. advisory councils, practica or internships; can we reach consensus on “practical next steps”; including addressing the related issues noted above.

Every effort will be made to use technology to make the Congress as participatory as possible. It is intended that part of the congress will form an interactive teleconference with interested members.

**Participants at the Congress**

Invitations will be handled in two tiers: (1) a letter will be sent to national associations asking them to name a representative. (2) an invitations subcommittee will review the named representatives and invite others, to assure broadly defined diversity. The press will be invited to report. There will be no observers.

**Other information**

The Committee meets again in early January. Individual Steering Committee members will be charged with meeting with/listening to various groups at MidWinter.

Dr. Ken Haycock, Professor and Director
The University of British Columbia
School of Library, Archival and Information Studies
International Responsibilities
August 3, 1998
Statement of Purpose The SRRT International Responsibilities Task Force provides a forum for discussion and work on the international dimensions of SRRT issues, including organizational alliances. As globalization proceeds, it is imperative that SRRT understand its mission in the international context, and work with sister organizations in other countries to address common concerns and problems.

Two kinds of activities are addressed: foreign policy and international relations:

Foreign policy includes bilateral and multilateral relations with governments, corporations, and organizations in various countries. Particular attention is given to current situations where United States policy is likely to have a major impact.

International relations includes alliances and relationships with library and related organizations throughout the world. Issues addressed include foreign policy as well as issues that may be seen as local but similar in many countries.

Functions
1. To provide information and advocate socially responsible positions on issues of international concern within SRRT, ALA, and the international library arena through programs, resolutions, and reports.
2. To change ALA policies to reflect socially responsible positions, make such policies known within ALA and internationally, and implement these policies.
3. To promote international human rights, including implementation of Article 19 on freedom of expression, of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights.
4. To serve as liaison with other progressive library and related organizations worldwide, and promote cooperative endeavors.

Membership
SRRT members who state their interest in or attend at least one International Responsibilities Task Force meeting per year will be considered IRTF members.

Structure
A Coordinator and Secretary will be elected for two-year terms by a method agreeable to IRTF members. Other officers or liaisons may be elected if the need arises. The Coordinator or Secretary will make regular reports to be published in the SRRT Newsletter.

Al Kagan
akagan@uiuc.edu

Alternatives in Print
AIP is entering a transition period as Charles Willett gradually transfers responsibilities to Rory Litwin. He has led the AIP though five years of consistent high activity and success. He is looking forward to focussing his energies on Counterpoise, the 1999 edition of Alternative Publishers of Books in North America, and Librarians at Liberty (see the websites located at http://www.LibLib.com/); the activities of CRISES Press: writing and lecturing; and enjoying life. His title for the present is “coordinator for external affairs.”

Rory Litwin, “coordinator for internal affairs,” is in charge of day to day operations and represents AIP on SRRT Action Council. Rory is finishing his MLIS at San Jose State University and is relatively new to SRRT and AIP. He is the publisher of the email-based current awareness service Library Juice and works for the Progressive Librarians Guild, managing their discussion list and website and serving as review editor for Progressive Librarian. (See the websites located at http://www.liborg.org) He is learning the ropes with the help of AIP members and looks forward to coordinating their efforts and drawing in new participants.

Projects: As usual, AIP has a full slate of projects underway. Immediately upcoming is a midwinter discussion group on “Really Banned Books.” The organizers and other participants will discuss the hidden economic and structural forms of censorship and their impact on library collections. The year’s most quietly censored titles, as determined by a study of OCLC holdings of titles reviewed in Counterpoise, will be described. The inspiration for this event was of course Banned Books Week, which gives a conspicuous lack of attention to the alternative press.

In the early planning stages for the annual conference are programs on Street Newspapers, chaired by James Danky with the help Chris Dodge; Erotica in Libraries, chaired by Chuck Munson with the help of Martha Cornog; and The Alternative Press and Intellectual Freedom, chaired by Charles Willett with the help of Rory Litwin. Other AIP members, such as Sandy Berman, Byron Anderson, and Steve Harris, have also been noticeably active in getting these projects off the ground. If you are interested in participating with the planning of these events, please contact Rory Litwin at rlitwin@earthlink.net.

Environment
EPA Open House at Midwinter
TFOE initiated an interesting and hopefully mutually beneficial exchange with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA’s) National Library Network in 1995 at the ALA Annual Meeting. The arrangement provides ALA members an EPA Library to visit in exchange for EPA Libraries to enhance their outreach to ALA members. It’s been a win-win arrangement and will be continued at the Midwinter Meeting, when ALA goes to Philadelphia, home of the Region 3 EPA Library. The EPA Open House will be held from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. on Monday, 25 January 1999. Dianne McCreary, Director of the Region 3 Library will be our host for the open house.

Public, school, and academic librarians will find many of the new resources for libraries, teachers, and researchers of interest. The EPA has invested much time and energy
developing its electronic information resources, especially its Web-based services and products. A substantial portion of this development has been undertaken with librarians in mind.

The Region 3 Library is open to the public Monday through Friday from 8 am to 5 pm. We are closed on Saturdays, Sundays, and Federal holidays. The Library is located on the second floor of the EPA regional office building at 1650 Arch Street (on the southeast corner of 17th and Arch Streets, 215/814-5254, email: library-reg3@epa.gov) in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. A map of the area, directions, and photographs of their recent move are available from the Region 3 EPA Office Web site at: http://www.epa.gov/region03/r3lib/index.htm

The Region 3 Library as well as other libraries in the EPA Library Network is committed to providing the best, most timely, and most accurate information that is available to their community of users within our funding and staffing resources. Many of their services are directed towards support for the Region 3 offices of the EPA, but every citizen who lives or works in Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia, or the District of Columbia is our client and will be given our best efforts.

EPA and contract Librarians will be on hand to provide an introduction to the EPA Library Network, demonstrate several of the EPA’s new databases (including its national OPAC), provide a tour of the EPA’s exciting Web site (whose extensive revision was unveiled at the 1997 ALA Annual Meeting in San Francisco), and a tour of the Region 3 facilities.

**TFOE Setting Plans for Annual Meeting**

The TFOE will be holding special programs on Environmental Education and Environmental Justice at the ALA Annual Meeting in New Orleans. Details of TFOE programs for the Annual will appear in future issues of the SRRT Newsletter and the SRRT and TFOE Websites.