

**ACRL Instruction Section
Emerging Technologies in Instruction, Meeting One
2004 Midwinter Conference
Saturday, January 10, 2004
2:00-4:00 p.m.
Westin Horton Plaza B**

Members Present: Kate Adams, Norman Buchwald, Diane Dallis, Lori DuBois (co-chair), Corey Johnson, Jennifer Knievel, Melissa Koenig, Kathy Magarrell, Cassandra Osterloh (intern), Melissa Prescott, Judy Smith (co-chair), Mark Szarko, Susan Vega Garcia

Members Excused: Caroline Cason

Members Absent: None

Visitors: Michael Bolam, Raik Zaghloul, Emily Hart, Susan Berteaux, Michael Pasqualoni, Irene Percelli

Minutes

- I. Welcome and Introductions
- II. Announcements from the Advisory Council Meeting
 - IS Dinner at ALA Annual will be Friday at Dan Marino's for \$35.
 - The workshop at ALA Annual on Advancing the Information Literacy Research Agenda will be on Saturday from 1:30-5:30. This time conflicts with our scheduled meeting; however, committee members interested in attending can be excused.
 - Call for attendees to the IS of the Future Task Force session at ALA Midwinter.
 - LOEX (Ypsilanti on May 7-9) – registration begins February 13 at 1pm.
 - ACRL Board is working on a Strategic Plan that will be available at ALA Annual.
 - Reminder that if your committee term ends at ALA Annual, you need to submit a volunteer form after ALA Midwinter to continue.
- III. Background of Committee (Lori DuBois)
 - Major project - Internet Education Project (IEP)
 - Database of excellent online review materials; selected through submissions and review; next call for submissions is April/May
 - Internet Education Background Survey
 - Sites accepted to IEP fill out a survey about how the site was developed, budget and staff involved; hoping to integrate this information into IEP database entry
 - IEP Site of the Month

- Select highest ranked projects and create a narrative profile, which is posted to committee website and ILI-L listserv
 - InfoTech Tips and Trends
 - A project to push information to instruction librarians about technology being used in instruction, its impacts, etc.
- IV. Discussion of Evaluation Process and Most Recent IEP Results
- Discussed what our experiences were in evaluating the various projects, and how we felt about the process. Some questions arose on the different technologies currently being used (ie: streaming video) that don't "fit" as well into our current rubric. Some felt it was often difficult to know if something was "emerging" or not. The committee agreed that those submitting their projects for consideration should be clearer in expressing what they want us to review and who their audience is. Also discussed were adaptations to other tutorials and how those should be evaluated.
 - There were 39 submissions and about 20% met the selection criteria (not all reviews had been finished at this point).
- V. Name of IEP Discussion
- Internet Education Project and the abbreviation IEP is confusing and does not really reflect what we review.
 - The rest of the meeting was spent brainstorming new names for the IEP.

Time Adjourned: 3:45 pm

Recorder's Name: Cassandra Osterloh, intern

Recorder's Email: osterloh@unm.edu