(Mrs. FeinStein) was added as a co-sponsor of amendment No. 1931 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 1952

At the request of Mr. Scott of Florida, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. Cruz) was added as a co-sponsor of amendment No. 1952 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 1964

At the request of Mr. Menendez, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. Merkley) was added as a co-sponsor of amendment No. 1964 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 1995

At the request of Ms. Smith of Minnesota (Ms. Smith) was added as a co-sponsor of amendment No. 1995 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2031

At the request of Ms. Baldwin, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. Wyden) was added as a co-sponsor of amendment No. 2031 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2184

At the request of Ms. Sinema of Arizona (Mrs. FeinStein) was added as a co-sponsor of amendment No. 2184 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2244

At the request of Mr. Cornyn, the name of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. Moran) and the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. Smith) were added as co-sponsors of amendment No. 2244 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2245

At the request of Mr. Cornyn, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. Smith) was added as a co-sponsor of amendment No. 2245 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2284

At the request of Mr. Sasse of Nebraska, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. Cruz) was added as a co-sponsor of amendment No. 2284 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2296

At the request of Ms. Klobuchar of Minnesota (Mrs. FeinStein) was added as a co-sponsor of amendment No. 2296 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2324

At the request of Mr. Durbin of Illinois, the names of the Senator from Illinois (Ms. Duckworth), the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Durbin) and the Senator from Oregon (Mr. Merkley) were added as co-sponsors of amendment No. 2324 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2329

At the request of Mr. Paul of Vermont, the name of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. Leahy) was added as a co-sponsor of amendment No. 2329 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2341

At the request of Mr. Merkley of Idaho, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. Feinstein) was added as a co-sponsor of amendment No. 2341 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2396

At the request of Ms. Sharer of Nevada, the names of the Senator from South Dakota (Ms. Duckworth), the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. Duckworth) and the Senator from Colorado (Ms. Smith) were added as co-sponsors of amendment No. 2396 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2399

At the request of Mr. Menendez, the names of the Senator from Illinois (Ms. Duckworth), the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Durbin) and the Senator from South Dakota (Ms. Duckworth) were added as co-sponsors of amendment No. 2399 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2399

At the request of Ms. Duckworth, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Ms. Duckworth), the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Durbin) and the Senator from Oregon (Mr. Merkley) were added as co-sponsors of amendment No. 2396 intended to be proposed to S. 4049, an original bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2021 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTION

By Ms. Collins (for herself, Mr. Manchin, and Mr. Boozman):

S. 4155. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to provide or assist in providing an additional vehicle adapted for operation by disabled individuals to certain eligible persons; to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.
Ms. COLLINS. Mr. President, today, I am pleased to be joined by my colleague from West Virginia, Senator Joe Manchin, in introducing the Advancing Uniform Transportation Opportunities for Veterans Act. Our bill, known as the AUTO Act, would lessen the financial burden for severely disabled veterans who require special adaptive equipment to drive a motor vehicle by increasing the access to the Department of Veterans Affairs automobile grant program.

The VA currently authorized to provide eligible veterans with a one-time grant of approximately $21,400 to be used to purchase a new or used automobile and necessary adaptive equipment, such as specialized pedals or switches. This grant is often used to together with the VA special adaptive equipment grants, which help veterans purchase adaptive equipment, such as powered lifts, for an existing automobile or van to make it safe for a veteran’s use.

Although veterans can receive multiple special adaptive equipment grants over the course of their lives, they are, for some reason, limited to a single grant for the vehicle. The current limitation is being taken into account that a disabled veteran will need more than one vehicle in his or her lifetime. In fact, the Department of Transportation reports that the average useful life of a vehicle is 11.5 years, and a vehicle that has been used structurally tends to have a shorter useful life.

According to the VA Independent Budget prepared by the Disabled American Veterans, Paralyzed Veterans of America, and the Veterans of Foreign Wars, the average cost to replace modified vehicles ranges from $40,000 to $65,000 when the vehicle is new and $21,000 to $35,000 when the vehicle is used. These are significant costs for a severely disabled veteran to bear to replace a primary mode of transportation. That is why veterans should be eligible to receive an automobile grant every year or two. Our bipartisan bill would do exactly that.

One disabled veteran in Maine, Neal Williams, from Shirley, used a VA automobile grant in 1999 to purchase an adapted vehicle—a Ford Econoline van. He has had to purchase several adaptive vehicles since 1999, with each one lasting 250,000 miles, until they were completely inoperable. He is severely disabled and fifty thousand miles is a lot of miles, particularly over Maine’s roads and highways. Neal’s current vehicle now has over 100,000 miles, and he probably only has a short time before he will need a new one. He told me that purchasing a new van, which he uses for his wheelchair, and then he transfers to the driver’s seat—he is such an extraordinary person in what he is able to do, but he has told me that new van will cost him well over $50,000, which is more than he paid for his home in rural Maine.

This is an enormous burden on veterans like Neal who need to purchase expensive adaptive vehicles in order to drive safely and also, in order to maintain their independence.

Our Nation owes American veterans our deepest gratitude. We must continue to honor that commitment to our veterans by supporting their needs, including the needs of disabled veterans who need adaptive technology for their vehicles long after they are discharged or retired from Active Duty. The AUTO for Veterans Act is an important step in helping those who have served our Nation shed the costs they have sacrificed so much for our freedom.

I urge all of our colleagues to join Senator Manchin and me in honoring and supporting our Nation’s disabled veterans.

I send the bill to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill will be received and appropriately referred.

By Mr. THUNE (for himself, Mr. MORAÑO, and Mr. YOUNG):

S. 4159. A bill to amend the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act to accommodate emerging technologies; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 4190

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE

This Act may be cited as the “E-SIGN Modernization Act of 2020.”

SEC. 2. REQUIREMENTS FOR CONSENT TO ELECTRONIC DISCLOSURES.

(a) In General.—Title I of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (15 U.S.C. 7001 et seq.) is amended—

(1) in section 101(c) (15 U.S.C. 7001(c)—(A) in paragraph (1), by striking subparagraphs (C) and (D) and inserting the following:

"(C) the consumer, prior to consenting, is provided with a statement of the hardware and software requirements for access to and retention of the electronic records and

"(D) after the consent of a consumer in accordance with subparagraph (A), if a change in the hardware or software requirements needed to access or retain electronic records is made, the person providing the electronic record will not be able to access or retain a subsequent electronic record that was the subject of the consent, the person providing the electronic record provides the consumer with a statement of—

"(i) the revised hardware and software requirements for access to and retention of the electronic records; and

"(ii) the right to withdraw consent without the imposition of any fees for such withdrawal and without the imposition of any condition or consequence that was not disclosed under subparagraph (B)(i);"

(2) by striking paragraph (3); and

(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section, or the amendments made by this section, may be construed as affecting the consent provided by any consumer under section 103(c) of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (15 U.S.C. 7001(c)) before the date of enactment of this Act.

By Mr. THUNE (for himself, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. PORTMAN, Ms. BALDWIN, Mrs. CAPUTO, and Mr. CARDIN):

S. 4160. A bill to enable certain hospitals that were participating in or applied for the drug discount program under section 340B of the Public Health Service Act prior to the COVID–19 public health emergency to temporarily maintain eligibility for such program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 4160

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. ELIGIBILITY EXCEPTION FOR THE DRUG DISCOUNT PROGRAM DUE TO THE COVID–19 PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a hospital described in subsection (b) that, for any applicable calendar quarter, otherwise meets the requirements for being a covered entity under subparagraph (L), (M), or (O) of section 340B(a)(4) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 256b(a)(4)) but that, for such applicable calendar quarter, does not meet the applicable requirement for the disproportionate share adjustment percentage described in subsection (c), shall be deemed a covered entity under such respective subparagraph for such applicable calendar quarter.

(b) HOSPITALS.—A hospital described in this subsection is—

(1) an entity that, on the day before the first day of the COVID–19 public health emergency, was a covered entity described in subparagraph (L), (M), or (O) of subsection (a)(4) of section 340B of the Public Health Service Act participating in the drug discount program under such section; or

(2) an entity that—

(A) prior to the COVID–19 public health emergency, submitted an application for participation in such program as a covered entity described in subparagraph (L), (M), or (O) of subsection (a)(4) of the Public Health Service Act; and

(B) prior to or during such emergency, was approved for such participation; and

(c) APPLICABLE REQUIREMENT FOR DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE ADJUSTMENT PERCENTAGE.—The applicable requirement for the disproportionate share adjustment percentage described in this subsection is—

(1) in the case of a hospital described in subsection (a) that otherwise meets the requirements under subparagraph (L) or (M) of section 340B(a)(4) of the Public Health Service Act, the requirement under subparagraph (L)(i) of such section; and

(2) in the case of a hospital described in subsection (a) that otherwise meets the requirements under subparagraph (O) of such...
By Ms. COLLINS (for herself and Mr. HASSAN).

S. 4174. To amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a credit to small employers for covering military spouses under retirement plans, to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. President, I rise today, along with Senator HASSAN, to introduce the Military Spouses Retirement Security Act. This bipartisan bill would help spouses of active duty service members save for retirement by expanding access to employer-sponsored retirement plans.

According to the Employee Benefits Research Institute, American households face a retirement savings gap of $3.7 trillion. The Center for Retirement Research estimates an even larger gap of $7.1 trillion. When asked about their retirement preparedness, only 57 percent of Americans believe they will be able to live comfortably in retirement.

There are many reasons why American households struggle to save for retirement, including the shift away from employer-based “defined benefit” plans and rising health care and long-term care costs. Longer life spans increase the risk of outliving retirement savings. The economic and health impacts of the COVID-19 crisis are also posing a threat to retirement security.

Spouses of active duty service members face an additional hurdle to saving for retirement. According to the Department of Defense, about one-third of military families experience a permanent change of station every year. When a service member moves, their spouse often relocates as well. This can mean facing periods of unemployment, threat of job loss, putting on hold, threatening their long-term security.

Military spouses are the unsung heroes of our country’s national defense. They often put their professional lives on hold, threatening their long-term retirement security. The Military Spouses Retirement Security Act would help by encouraging small employers to provide military spouses with accelerated access to retirement plans and employer contributions. I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Thank you, Mr. President.

By Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. DAINES, Mr. JONES, and Mr. TESTER):

S. 4174. A bill to provide emergency appropriations to the United States Postal Service to cover losses related to the COVID-19 crisis and to direct the Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service to develop a plan for ensuring the long term solvency of the Postal Service; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

Mr. President, I rise today to introduce the Postal Service COVID-19 Emergency Assistance Act. I am pleased to be joined by my colleague, Senator FEINSTEIN, in sponsoring this legislation that would ensure the United States Postal Service survives the COVID-19 pandemic and advance the conversation needed to put it on a path to long-term solvency.

I would also like to recognize Senators DAINES, JONES, and TESTER, who have joined as original cosponsors.

Throughout its 245-year history, the United States Postal Service has played a vital role in bringing our country together and moving our economy forward. The Postal Service allows us to remain connected with family and friends and helps small businesses reach their customers around the country.

The Postal Service is also the linchpin of a $1.6 trillion mailing industry that employs more than 7.3 million people. These jobs are as varied as print manufacturers, publishers, printers, stationers, online retailers and transportation providers.

The COVID-19 pandemic has changed our daily lives in fundamental ways, and the Postal Service is needed now more than ever. USPS is a lifeline for Americans across the country, particularly seniors and others living in rural areas. In a Harris poll conducted in May, Americans ranked the USPS as the most “essential” company amid the pandemic.

The agency’s dedicated employees, like the rural letter carrier in Columbia Falls, go to work each day, facing increased risk as they continue to ensure reliable delivery of needed prescription medications and other critical services that might otherwise be unavailable.

While COVID-19 has underscored the essential nature of the Postal Service, it has also caused significant declines in first-class and metered mail revenues and increased costs, as the Postal Service has taken additional steps to protect its employees and the public from the virus.

Even with substantial increases in package volumes during the first phase of the pandemic, USPS estimates that COVID-19 will increase net losses and accelerate its cash crisis. If Congress does not act, the Postal Service warns it could run out of money for payroll within the next year. This would threaten its ability to continue providing essential services to the public, as well as support its 630,000 employees, including 3,300 in Maine.

I am committed to ensuring this vital institution survives the COVID-19 crisis and is positioned to support economic recovery. The legislation we are introducing today would provide the Postal Service with up to $2 billion in emergency funding to cover COVID-19-related net losses and other operational expenses.

The legislation also includes several safeguards to ensure these funds are used only for their intended purpose. The funds would be appropriated to a separate “Postal Service COVID-19 Emergency Fund” rather than the general Postal Service Fund, and these funds would only be available until September 30, 2022.

Prior to accessing these funds, the Postal Service would be required to certify to this committee and the Postal Regulatory Commission that the expenditure of any such funds is necessary to cover losses or expenses resulting from
the COVID-19 pandemic. The Postal Service would also be required to prioritize the purchase of personal protective equipment for its employees and conduct additional cleaning and sanitizing of its facilities and delivery vehicles.

In addition to providing emergency relief, this legislation would clarify the terms and conditions of the $10 billion loan that Congress provided to the Postal Service as part of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security or CARES Act. Although the COVID-19 emergency is contributing to the Postal Service’s financing challenges, it did not cause all of its financial problems. According to the Government Accountability Office, USPS lost about $78 billion from fiscal year 2007 through 2019. This is why the legislation we are introducing today would also require the new Postmaster General and the Board of Governors to present to Congress a plan to ensure the long-term solvency of the Postal Service.

Growing up in Aroostook County, I experienced the essential nature of the United States Postal Service every day. As Chairman of the Senate Aging Committee, I have also seen the indispensable role that the Postal Service plays for our nation’s seniors. The Postal Service Emergency Assistance Act would ensure the Postal Service is able to continue fulfilling its essential mission, while also providing for responsible use of taxpayer funds and laying the groundwork to put the Postal Service on a path to long-term viability. I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Thank you, Mr. President.

By Mr. REED (for himself, Mr. BROWN, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. UDALL, Mr. CARIDN, Ms. WARREN, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. WYDEN, Ms. HIRANO, and Mr. MARKEY):

S. 4181. A bill to establish a Library Stabilization Fund to respond to and accelerate the recovery from coronavirus; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

Mr. REED. Mr. President, to help libraries respond to and recover from the COVID-19 pandemic and continue providing communities with needed services, resources, technology, and broadband access, I am introducing the Library Stabilization Fund Act along with Senators BROWN, BOOKER, BLUMENTHAL, UDALL, CARIDN, WARREN, WYDEN, VAN HOLLEN, MENENDEZ, WYDEN, HIRANO, and MARKEY. Our bill would provide the $2 billion the American Library Association has estimated is necessary for a library stabilization fund under the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS).

COVID-19 has wreaked havoc on every aspect of our daily lives. Librarians, which anchor our local communities, are no exception. Local budget shortfalls have left libraries to grapple with severe cuts, furloughs of staff, and reduced operations just when communities need their services the most. In addition to providing critical resources to enable schools to reopen safely, close the homework gap, and strengthen the social safety net, we need to invest in libraries to help our communities recover.

Libraries are a critical piece of our education, economic development, and social infrastructure. Although many libraries remain closed or have limited hours, libraries are meeting urgent community needs by increasing technology access (including boosting WIPi and lending hotspots, tablets, and computers), offering digital content, providing books and materials for delivery or pick-up, and hosting online story times, classes, and discussion groups. In this way, libraries are providing essential services to the public, ensuring students can connect to remote and summer learning, enabling those with jobs to telework, helping job seekers find employment and receive training, and offering a lifeline to vulnerable children who need health information, a portal to government services, and ways to avoid social isolation.

To strengthen the ability of libraries to serve communities affected by COVID-19, our bill would provide funding to states on a formula basis, with a minimum allotment of $10 million; to tribes; and on a competitive basis. The funding could be used to support general operations, including paying staff and ensuring the safe handling of library materials; to offer greater access to technology, including expanding digital networks and enabling the purchase and lending of hotspots, laptops, and digital resources; to strengthen library services and resources, including those relating to literacy, distance learning, adult education, workforce and economic development, and health information; and to link patrons to government, community, and cultural resources.

This legislation will help ensure libraries can continue to find new ways to bridge the digital divide and safely provide information, programming, and services that people of all ages need to stay engaged and informed. This smart investment in our libraries will keep people and communities connected and contribute to our economic recovery.

I thank the supporters of the bill, including the American Library Association; Association for Rural & Small Libraries; Association of Research Libraries; Chief Officers of State Library Agencies; Common Sense Media; International Dyslexia Association; National Association of Elementary School Principals; National Association of Secondary School Principals; National Coalition for Literacy; National Digital Inclusion Alliance; National Humanities Alliance; National League of Cities; Reach Out and Read; Reading Is Fundamental; and Urban Libraries Council. Companion legislation is being introduced on a bipartisan basis in the other body by Congressmen ANDY LEVIN.

I urge our colleagues to join us in pressing for the inclusion of the Library Stabilization Fund Act in the next COVID-19 response package.

By Mr. WYDEN (for himself and Mr. MERKLEY):

S. 4189. A bill to provide for drought preparedness and improved water supply reliability; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, today I am introducing the Water for Agriculture and Conservation Act to help expand critical water conservation infrastructure, implement aquatic ecosystem restoration projects, and support water efficiency, fish and wildlife habitat, and agriculture productivity. Throughout the West, communities are experiencing high levels of drought that are hurting agriculture and conservation efforts. The Water for Conservation and Farming Act aims to help communities better plan and prepare for the increased demand for clean water by funding projects that improve dam safety, create more resilient watersheds, and benefit agricultural and urban water users.

States, Tribes, and local communities are working diligently to upgrade crumbling water infrastructure to meet increased demand that are backed the resource to make meaningful improvements last. The Water for Conservation and Farming Act would provide $120,000,000 over three years for disadvantaged communities to increase environmental protections, support agriculture, and make significant steps to improve the water supply for their communities.

The Water for Conservation and Farming Act would create a Bureau of Reclamation fund of $300 million to support water recycling projects, water-use efficiency projects and dam safety projects, as well as expanding existing programs, like WaterSMART, to get more bang for the conservation buck.

The bill would establish several new programs at the Bureau of Reclamation, which are designed to help disadvantaged communities plan and implement water conservation projects, a program to help farmers improve migratory bird habitat, and programs to implement aquatic ecosystem restoration projects.

At a time when the nation is suffering increased droughts, we farmers and ranchers are asked to do more with less, and critical habitats are suffering from less and less water, this bill will make needed investments in water conservation infrastructure that reduces demand for water, improves bio-
By Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. CASSIDY, Mr. DAINES, Ms. COLLINS, Ms. ERNST, Ms. MCSALLY, Mr. BRAUN, Mrs. HYDE-SMITH, and Ms. MURkowski):

S. 4196. A bill to amend titles XI, XVIII, and XIX of the Social Security Act to lower prescription drug prices in the Medicare and Medicaid programs, to improve transparency related to pharmaceutical prices and transactions, to reduce patients' out-of-pocket costs, and to ensure accountability to taxpayers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce the Prescription Drug Pricing Reduction Act of 2020. This is a bill that I have been working on for the past 18 months. I made lowering prescription drug costs one of my top priorities as I resumed the role of Chairman of the Finance Committee at the beginning of this Congress.

I made it my priority as it's a top concern for Iowans and all Americans. My constituents throughout the state voice concern about being able to afford prescription medications. I hear about this issue as much as any other. Poll after poll shows that the concern I hear in Iowa is shared across the Nation. The need for Congress to act to provide patients with much-needed relief in addition to improving the health care system is a common thread throughout the states.

For the vast majority of my time working on the bill, the Senator from Oregon, Ranking Member WYDEN, has been my partner. All of the policies in this bill, which improve Medicare and Medicaid and bring much needed transparency across the supply chain, were co-authored by the Ranking Member. The Finance Committee reported the initial version of this robust bill in July 2019. I worked with Ranking Member WYDEN and others to make bipartisan improvements to the bill. These improvements have made an already good bill better. I'm introducing this updated version today. It remains a truly bipartisan bill.

It's a bipartisan bill that helps patients and reduces government spending. According to the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office, the bill would save seniors and Americans with disabilities $72 billion in out-of-pocket costs in Medicare Part D and reduce premiums by $1 billion. The entire bill would save taxpayers nearly $100 billion—a rare source of bipartisan budget savings in an era of trillion dollar deficits. Americans in the commercial market would see savings.

It's a bipartisan bill that helps patients, reduces Federal spending, and lowers commercial costs. That's a rare feat. It's something of which we should all be proud.

So why is the bill only being introduced with Republicans as co-sponsors?

The reason, unfortunately, is that the Democrats recently walked away from the bill. They walked away from the good faith negotiations that produced this bipartisan product. They did so for political reasons. They put politics, and the fight for power, ahead of patients. From conversations I have had with colleagues on the other side of the aisle, this was a leadership-driven decision. Maybe the thinking is that they'll block Senate Republicans from security第一位 so that they'll be able to say that President Trump hasn't followed through.

They can say that, but it doesn't make it true. The introduction of this bill, with a number of my Republican colleagues, is an act of good faith that Republicans are the ones on the side of patients. And to question the commitment of President Trump to lowering prescription drug prices is outlandish.

President Trump campaigned on expanding patient choice in drug affordability and fairness. He released a comprehensive plan that included numerous policy ideas. He and his Administration have taken bold regulatory action. I think some of these proposals are being fought in the courts by stakeholders that support the status quo. Some have been opposed by Congressional Democrats.

As the Administration's ability to act through regulation can only take us so far, there needs to be a call on Congress to pass an overhaul of the drug pricing system. In fact, he mentioned my work on this specific bill in his State of the Union address earlier this year, and he called on Congress to send a bipartisan bill to his desk.

We should be celebrating the introduction of this bill today as another key step closer to responding to President Trump's call for bipartisan action.

While it remains the most prominent reform bill that contains bipartisan policy solutions, today's introduction reveals that Democrats are more interested in positioning for elections than producing results. This is disappointing and demonstrates how much the American people that they can count on Republicans to take action on the issues that are important to them.

President Trump has worked harder to lower prescription drug prices than any President in memory. He has stood up to special interests. I, along with my colleagues cosponsoring this bill, and other Republicans, stand with him. I only wish my colleagues on the other side of the aisle were as committed. It was a shame that they sent the political messaging and work in a bipartisan way to deliver the relief that Iowans and all Americans deserve.

I yield the floor.

By Mr. DURBIN (for himself and Ms. DUCKWORTH):

S. 4202. A bill to amend the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 to expand online redemption options under the supplemental nutrition assistance program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 4202

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Expanding SNAP Options Act of 2020.”

SEC. 2. ONLINE PORTAL FOR SNAP BENEFIT REDEMPTION.

Section 7(h)(14) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2016(h)(14)) is amended—

(1) by striking “Subject to subparagraph (b), the” and inserting “The”; and

(2) by striking subparagraph (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) To online redemption portal.—

“(1) Purpose. The purpose of this paragraph is to expand options for and access to food for eligible households by making the online redemption of program benefits, including the acceptance of more SNAP cards, more widely available to grocery stores, small retailers, and farmers who face barriers in implementing their own online payment portals.

“(ii) Contracts. Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the Expanding SNAP Options Act of 2020, the Secretary shall award on a competitive basis 1 or more contracts to 1 or more eligible entities described in clause (iii) to develop an online portal to be known as the ‘EBT Online Redemption Portal’.

“(ii) to facilitate food purchase delivery for program participants using the transactions described in subclause (I).

“(ii) A beta plan that has been user-tested. In awarding a contract to an eligible entity under clause (II), the Secretary shall award on a competitive basis 1 or more contracts to 1 or more eligible entities described in clause (iii) to develop an online portal to be known as the ‘EBT Online Redemption Portal’.

“(I) to allow program participants to use online or mobile electronic benefits transactions, including through the acceptance of EBT cards, to purchase program foods from, and make online payments to, authorized program retailers under the supplemental nutrition assistance program.

“(III) to facilitate food purchase delivery for program participants using the transactions described in subclause (I).

“(III) Client-facing technology with a privacy and online security of data.

“(I) to allow program participants to use online or mobile electronic benefits transactions, including through the acceptance of EBT cards, to purchase program foods from, and make online payments to, authorized program retailers under the supplemental nutrition assistance program.

“(II) to facilitate food purchase delivery for program participants using the transactions described in subclause (I).

“(II) PORTAL FEATURES. In awarding a contract to an eligible entity under clause (II), the Secretary shall give preference to an eligible entity that demonstrates an ability to implement the following features of an EBT Online Redemption Portal:

“(a) ability to redirect a consumer to an online or mobile transaction, including the acceptance of EBT cards, to purchase program foods from, and make online payments to, authorized program retailers under the supplemental nutrition assistance program.

“(b) ability to redirect a consumer to an online or mobile transaction, including the acceptance of EBT cards, to purchase program foods from, and make online payments to, authorized program retailers under the supplemental nutrition assistance program.

“(c) ability to redirect a consumer to an online or mobile transaction, including the acceptance of EBT cards, to purchase program foods from, and make online payments to, authorized program retailers under the supplemental nutrition assistance program.

“(d) ability to update as technologies evolve.
(ee) Ease of operation for program participants, including multilingual functionality.

(ff) Interoperability with delivery technology and infrastructure.

(gg) Identification of participating retailers within geographic proximity to the user.

(hh) Ability to perform single transaction transfers, including a single transaction for eligible food items using an EBT card and noneligible items using another form of payment.

(ii) Accessibility of a comprehensive business continuity and disaster recovery plan.

(III) To allow the portal to recover from any interruption of service; and

(bb) to deter fraud, have in place for program participants privacy and security protections, similar to protections provided under the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (31 U.S.C. 3301 et seq.) and other applicable federal laws.

(c) Interoperability with delivery technologies and interfaces.

(dd) Enable, direct, and facilitate technical assistance to eligible entities, applicable State agencies, and the private sector relating to redeeming program benefits under the supplemental nutrition assistance program.

(ee) To be available in an initial or beta version not later than 120 days after the date on which the eligible entity is awarded the contract; and

(ff) To be ready to be fully deployed in all States not later than 180 days after the date described in item (dd).

(vi) REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Online EBT Redemption Portal developed by the eligible entity awarded the contract under clause (ii) shall—

(aa) enable the integrated processing of an online EBT transaction by providing a platform and facilitating the purchasing interaction between consumers and third-party processors (for EBT card processing and the secure online entry of a personal identification number), and delivery vendors, as applicable;

(bb) to deter fraud, have in place for program participants privacy and security protections, similar to protections provided under the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (31 U.S.C. 3301 et seq.) and other applicable federal laws.

(cc) be secure and operate in a manner that complies with the guidelines of leading national consensus standards organizations, as determined by the Secretary, for encrypting personal identification number entry;

(dd) to operate in a manner that maintains program integrity, including food item eligibility;

(ee) be available in an initial or beta version not later than 120 days after the date on which the eligible entity is awarded the contract;

(ff) be ready to be fully deployed in all States not later than 180 days after the date described in item (dd);

(gg) be available for use by any retail food store or wholesale food concern authorized under section 9 to accept and redeem benefits under the supplemental nutrition assistance program;

(hh) be available for use by any retail food store or wholesale food concern authorized under section 9 to accept and redeem benefits under the supplemental nutrition assistance program;

(III) be available for use by any retail food store or wholesale food concern authorized under section 9 to accept and redeem benefits under the supplemental nutrition assistance program;

(iv) research, training, and best practices relating to redeeming program benefits through online transactions; and

(v) facilitate coordination between eligible entities, applicable State agencies, and the Department of Agriculture; and

(vi) to enable eligible entities direct grants to defray the technological costs of carrying out the activities described in subclauses (I) and (II) of clause (i).

(b) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE CENTER.—The Secretary, acting through the Administrator of the Food and Nutrition Service, shall, on a competitive basis, award 1 or more grants to, or enter into 1 or more cooperative agreements with, or provide approved entities to establish a technical assistance center, to be known as the "SNAP Online Purchasing Technical Assistance Center", to provide—

(I) to State agencies, eligible entities, and program participants information on and technical assistance with, as applicable—

(I) Covered entity.—The term "covered entity" means a public or private nonprofit entity.

(ii) ELIGIBLE ENTITY.—The term "eligible entity" means a retail food store or wholesale food concern authorized under section 9 to accept and redeem benefits under the supplemental nutrition assistance program.

(II) EVALUATION OF BETA VERSION.—The Secretary shall conduct a review of the initial or beta version of the Online EBT Redemption Portal under subsection (I)(dd), by soliciting feedback from program participants and retailers.

(iii) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—

(I) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REQUIREMENTS.—

(A) DEFINITIONS.—In this paragraph—

(iv) AUTHORIZATION OF APPOINTMENT.—There is appropriated to the Secretary, out of funds of the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, $25,000,000 to provide for the contract described in subsection (a).
(2) GOVERNMENTAL POSITIONS.—Governmental positions specified in this paragraph are the following:

(A) The Bureau of Consular Affairs of the Department of State.

(B) The Bureau of Education and Cultural Affairs of the Department of State.

(C) U.S. Customs and Border Protection.


(F) The Office of Science and Technology Cooperation of the Department of State.

(G) The Student and Exchange Visitor Program of the Department of Homeland Security.

(H) United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

(I) Office of the Citizenship and Immigration Services Ombudsman.


(K) The Department of Justice.

(L) The intelligence community, as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4)).

(d) NON-GOVERNMENTAL POSITIONS.—Non-governmental positions specified in this paragraph are the following:

(A) Twelve presidents or chancellors of a university, with a distribution of such university being private, public, and regionally diverse.

(B) Senior leaders of relevant higher education associations.

(4) TIMING OF APPOINTMENTS.—Appointments to the Council shall be made not later than 4 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

(5) TERMS.—(A) IN GENERAL.—Each member of the Council shall be appointed for a term of 2 years.

(B) VACANCIES.—Any member appointed to fill a vacancy occurring before the expiration of the term for which the member's predecessor was appointed shall be appointed only for the remainder of that term. A member may serve after the expiration of that term until a successor has been appointed.

(6) CHAIRPERSON AND VICE CHAIRPERSON.—The Chairperson and Vice Chairperson of the Council shall be designated by the Secretary at the time of the appointment of the members pursuant to paragraph (4), and when a vacancy of the Chairperson or Vice Chairperson occurs, as the case may be.

(d) MEETING.

(1) INITIAL MEETING.—The Council shall hold its initial meeting not later than 30 days after the final appointment of all members under subsection (c)(4).

(2) MEETINGS.—The Council shall meet not fewer than 3 times each year at the call of the Chairperson or Vice Chairperson.

(3) QUORUM.—Sixteen members of the Council, or 8 members shall be appointed from governmental positions and 8 members shall be appointed from non-governmental positions, shall constitute a quorum.

(e) COMPENSATION.—(1) PROHIBITION OF COMPENSATION.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), members of the Council may not receive additional pay, allowances, or benefits by reason of their service on the Council.

(2) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—Each member shall receive travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, in accordance with applicable provisions under subchapter I of chapter 61 of title 5, United States Code.

(f) ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT SERVICES.—Upon the request of the Council, the Secretary shall provide to the Council, on a reimbursable basis, the administrative support services necessary for the Council to carry out its responsibilities under this Act.

(g) REPORT.—Roughly 180 days after the date on which the Council holds its initial meeting under subsection (d) and annually thereafter, the Council shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing a detailed statement of the advice and recommendations of the Council pursuant to subsection (b).

(i) NONAPPLICATION OF FACA.—The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to the Council.

By Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina (for himself, Mr. Brown, Mr. Grassley, Mr. Wyden, Mr. Corning, Mr. Carper, Mr. Lankford, Mr. Casey, Mr. Perdue, Ms. Hassan, Mrs. Loeffler, Mr. Cardin, Ms. Ernst, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Daines, Mr. King, Mr. Cramer, Mr. Booker, Mr. Graham, Ms. Smith, Mrs. Hyde-Smith, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Durbin, Mr. Warner, Mr. Reed, and Ms. Klobuchar):

S. 4209. A bill to amend title IX of the Social Security Act to improve emergency unemployment relief for governmental entities and nonprofit organizations; considered and passed.

S. 4209

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Protecting Nonprofits from Catastrophic Cash Flow Strain Act of 2020”.

SEC. 2. IMPROVING EMERGENCY UNEMPLOYMENT RELIEF FOR GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES AND NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 903(i)(1) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 11363(i)(1)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “during” and inserting “with respect to”;

(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking “3309(a)(1)” and inserting “3309(a)”; and

(3) by striking paragraph (C) and inserting the following:

“(C) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds transferred to the account of a governmental entity pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be used exclusively to reduce the amounts required to be paid in lieu of contributions into the State unemployment fund pursuant to such section by governmental entities and other organizations described in section 3309(a) of such Code.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in the enactment of section 2153 of the Relief for Workers Affected by the Pandemic Act contained in subtitle A of title II of division A of the CARES Act (Public Law 116–136).

(2) APPLICATION TO WEEKS PRIOR TO ENACTMENT.—For weeks of unemployment that occurred after March 12, 2020, and prior to the date of enactment of this section, States may—

(A) issue reimbursements in accordance with section 903(i)(1)(C) of the Social Security Act, as effective prior to the date of enactment of this section; or

(B) require funds required to be paid in accordance with such section 903(i)(1)(C), as amended by subsection (a).