Dear Chairmen and Ranking Members:

As you begin to reconcile the differences between the House and Senate versions of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 (NDAA, H.R. 4909 and S. 2943), the undersigned organizations, concerned with openness and accountability, urge you to maintain the integrity of specific military whistleblower provisions.

While military whistleblowers play an important role in safeguarding our nation from fraud, waste and abuse, speaking out against wrongdoing is particularly challenging for servicemembers. A 2015 Government Accountability Office report exposed deficiencies in the protections offered to military whistleblowers and widespread whistleblower retaliation. Two 2014 Office of Personnel Management surveys revealed that a fifth of Department of Defense employees and a quarter of Department of Defense Inspector General employees could not disclose a suspected violation of the law without fear of reprisal. The stakes could not be higher for military whistleblowers. Recognizing this issue’s importance, the following House and Senate provisions provide responsible and overdue reforms that should be included in the final NDAA:

House Section 545. Burdens of Proof Applicable to Investigations and Reviews Related to Protected Communications of Members of the Armed Forces and Prohibited Retaliatory Actions. When compared to civilian employees, whistleblower protections for servicemembers are severely limited. The burdens of proof that military whistleblowers must meet are particularly oppressive. In military reprisal cases, servicemembers must prove retaliation was illegal; in civilian cases, the agency must prove retaliation was not illegal. Burdens are greater for military personnel than for civilians. Consequently, the Department of Defense and Service Inspectors General are unable to substantiate the vast majority of allegations they receive. This section applies the Whistleblower Protection Act burdens of proof language, found in every other


*House Section 546. Improved Investigation of Allegations of Professional Retaliation.* This provision would require the Secretary concerned to ensure that individuals investigating alleged whistleblower reprisal have whistleblower retaliation training. Additionally, if the investigation involves alleged retaliation in response to a communication regarding rape, sexual assault, or other sexual misconduct, then the training shall include specific instruction regarding such violations.

*Senate Subtitle D. Whistleblower Protections for Members of the Armed Forces.* This subtitle strengthens military whistleblower rights in a number of ways by: categorizing new reprisal tactics, such as retaliatory investigations, as prohibited personnel actions (Sec. 961); granting the IG authority to notify the Secretary concerned of active investigations, shielding Service members from retaliation during investigations (Sec. 961); strengthening notice requirements for the untimely completion of DODIG whistleblower reprisal investigations (where each took an average of 438 days to close in 2014) (Sec. 961); requiring the DODIG and Service IGs to develop uniform procedures for conducting military whistleblower investigations and training staff, effectively raising the quality of Service IG reprisal investigations to match the DODIG standard (Sec. 961); modifying whistleblower protection authorities to restrict contrary findings of prohibited personnel action by the Secretary concerned (Sec. 962); assisting servicemembers in filing claims, detailing the specific information or documents they must attach to make a claim reviewable (Sec. 963); requiring Correction Boards to make reasonable efforts to obtain medical or personnel records if a Service member is unable to obtain them (Sec. 963); removing the one-year statute of limitations for reconsideration of Correction Board decisions, allowing for the consideration of new evidence (Sec. 963); publishing final Correction Board decisions, assisting Service members and building case law (Sec. 963); clarifying the right of Service members to seek judicial review of Correction Board decisions in federal court (Sec. 963); establishing a uniform training curriculum for Correction Board members and requiring timely retraining (Sec. 963); mandating that the United States Comptroller General review the integrity of the DODIG whistleblower program (Sec. 964). These provisions would empower military whistleblowers to disclose incidences of fraud, waste and abuse by minimizing illegal reprisal, improving IG efficiency and integrity, and clarifying existing laws and procedures.

We appreciate all of the work your committees have done to enhance whistleblower protections and further public integrity. The FY 2017 NDAA has the potential to solidify common sense protections for military whistleblowers facing retaliation and incentivize internal whistleblowing within the Department of Defense. These reforms already enjoy extensive bipartisan support in the House and Senate Armed Services Committees. We hope you will seize this opportunity to eliminate fraud, waste and abuse within the United States Armed Forces.

If you would like more information on any of these sections, please contact the Government Accountability Project’s Legislative Director, Shanna Devine, at 202-457-0034 (ext. 132) ShannaD@whistleblower.org, or the Project On Government Oversight’s Policy Counsel, Liz Hempowicz, at 202.347.1122 ehempowicz@pogo.org.
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American Civil Liberties Union
American Library Association
Bill of Rights Defense Committee/Defending Dissent Foundation
Bogdan Dzakovic, FAA Whistleblower
Center for Defense Information
Center for Financial Privacy and Human Rights
Demand Progress
Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association
Government Accountability Project
Human Rights Watch
Just Foreign Policy
Law Office of Elaine Mittleman
Liberty Coalition
Marvell D. Lavy, DVA Whistleblower
National Center for Transgender Equality
National Taxpayers Union
National Workrights Institute
OpenTheGovernment.org
Project On Government Oversight
Protect Our Defenders
Public Citizen
Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility (PEER)
Restore The Fourth
Service Women's Action Network
Sunlight Foundation
Taxpayers Protection Alliance
TechFreedom
The James Madison Project
The Rutherford Institute
X-Lab
cc: Members, House and Senate Armed Services Committee